
This document was created from the closed caption transcript of the October 7, 2025 City Council Regular Meeting has not been checked for completeness or accuracy of content.

A copy of the agenda for this meeting, including a summary of the action taken on each agenda item, can be accessed through the City Clerk Archived Documents Search at:

<https://eservices.scottsdaleaz.gov/cityclerk/DocumentSearch>

An unedited digital video recording of the meeting, which can be used in conjunction with the transcript, is available online at:

<https://ww2.scottsdaleaz.gov/scottsdale-video-network/Council-video-archives/2025-archives>

For ease of reference, included throughout the transcript are bracketed "time stamps" [Time: 00:00:00] that correspond to digital video recording time.

For more information about this transcript, please contact the City Clerk's Office at 480-312-2411.

CALL TO ORDER

[Time: 00:00:10]

Mayor Borowsky: And now we will convene our 5:00 P.M. meeting that was scheduled to start 15 minutes ago, 13 minutes ago. So, with that, I would like to call the October 7th, Regular City Council Meeting to order. City Clerk Ben Lane, conduct a roll call for us.

ROLL CALL

[Time: 00:00:19]

City Clerk Ben Lane: Thank you, Mayor. Mayor Lisa Borowsky.

Mayor Borowsky: Present.

Ben Lane: Vice Mayor Adam Kwasman.

Vice Mayor Kwasman:

Ben Lane: Councilmembers Jan Dubauskas.

**CITY OF SCOTTSDALE
OCTOBER 7, 2025 REGULAR MEETING
CLOSED CAPTION TRANSCRIPT**

PAGE 2 OF 31

Councilwoman Dubauskas: Here.

Ben Lane: Barry Graham.

Councilman Graham: Here.

Ben Lane: Kathy Littlefield.

Councilwoman Littlefield: Here.

Ben Lane: Maryann McAllen.

Councilwoman McAllen: Present.

Ben Lane: And Solange Whitehead.

Councilwoman Whitehead: Here.

Ben Lane: City Manager Greg Caton.

City Manager Greg Caton: Here.

Ben Lane: Interim City Attorney Luis Santaella.

Interim City Attorney Luis Santaella: Here.

Ben Lane: City Treasurer Sonia Andrews.

City Treasurer Sonia Andrew: Here.

Ben Lane: Acting City Auditor Lai Cluff.

Acting City Auditor Lai Cluff: Here.

Ben Lane: And the Clerk is Present. Thank you, Mayor.

[Time: 00:00:48]

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you very much. This evening, we have Scottsdale Police Officers, Sergeant Bows, Sergeant Klor and Officer Sholok, and Sergeant Pitt as well as Firefighter Hunter Ferguson if anyone requires their assistance, please let a member of staff know.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

[Time: 00:01:08]

For the Pledge of Allegiance, I would like to ask Councilwoman McAllen to lead us.

Councilwoman McAllen: I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands: One nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

INVOCATION

[Time: 00:01:30]

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you very much and for the invocation this evening, Councilman Graham, I will turn it over to you.

Councilman Graham: Thank you, Mayor. I'm very honored or very excited about tonight's invocation. I will go ahead and invite Mr. or Chaplain Ed Ho down to the lectern right here and as he's approaching the lectern, I would like to say a few things about Chaplain Ho and the Scottsdale Fire Department chaplaincy. Ed Ho is one the chaplains with the Scottsdale Fire Department. After 32-year career fire captain in Southern California, Ed and his wife Laura relocated to Arizona in 2020. During his tenure with Ventura County Fire Department, Ed was the department's critical incident stress and peer support program manager. After attending Highlands Church up in north Scottsdale for several months, Ed and Laura met Chaplain Chief Chaplain, top dog I might say.

Chaplain Ed Ho: My boss.

Councilman Graham: Your boss, Mark Yule and he asked what he could do to be. He said that meeting could be a blessing and that he had the perfect calling for the past several months Ed has been going through the onboarding process at Scottsdale Fire Department Chaplain and is dedicated to providing compassionate, spiritual care, emotional support and crisis counseling to firefighters, their families and the community. Ed is grateful for the opportunity to serve our lord through his dedication to the members of the Scottsdale Fire Department and our community, and I will just briefly remark on Friday, we dedicated fire station 612 at Mayo Boulevard and Hayden and Mr. Ho was kind enough to invoke and give a warm welcome and bless the fire department up there in north Scottsdale. He did a fantastic job. I'm honored that accepted my invitation to open tonight's meeting if an invocation if you may.

[Time: 00:03:39]

Chaplain Ed Ho: Thank you, Councilman Graham. Madam Mayor, Councilmembers, my extreme gratitude for being here. And I'm extremely grateful. It's a blessing. I would like to thank Fire Chief Tom Shannon for this unwavering support and allowing this to occur. If you wouldn't mind, bow your heads in prayer. Heavenly father, we come before you this evening with

grateful hearts, seeking your wisdom and guidance in the work set before us. You have entrusted us with the care of this community, its people, its resources and its future. Lord, as our Mayor and City Councilmembers discuss matters of growth, infrastructure and the stewardship of our city's finances, grant them the wisdom to make decisions that honor you and serve all who call Scottsdale, Arizona, home.

Bless our department leaders, staff and citizens with patience, humility, and understanding. Where there's disagreement, sew peace. Where there's uncertainty, provide clarity. And where there's ambition, temper it with compassion. May every decision made tonight contribute not only to a stronger city with infrastructure, roads, buildings, but to a stronger sense of unity justice and hope within our community. Lord, we ask these things in your glory amen.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you.

MAYOR'S REPORT

[Time: 00:05:28]

As for the Mayor's Report, Councilman Graham touched on this event but it's notable and worthy of repeating. Last week this was a big firefighter, fire or fire department and also police celebration. We first had the opening, cut the ribbon, proud to do that at 612, our first four-bay engine facility just adjacent to the 101, and great location at Hayden and the 101. So, it will serve a lot of our northern area, much more efficiently reducing response times. And as that area continues to grow, that's a blessing to our community up there. And a beautiful station. I encourage you to see it and state-of-the-art facility and really a nice job there. And I should also mention 2019 Bond voter approved bond program at work and fulfilled. So, check. Excited about that.

Then that same day, we had the Exchange Club, who hosted the Scottsdale Officer and Firefighter of the Year luncheon. So that was exciting up at the Fairway House at Grayhawk Golf Club. And we sure appreciate the Exchange Club for that worthy event and cause of celebrating our public safety heroes. So that was a wonderful, that was a wonderful day!

And moving right along, so thank you for all of those who participated and attended and look forward to more of the same.

PRESENTATION/INFORMATION UPDATE

[Time: 00:07:06]

So, for tonight's presentation, we have I'm very excited about this, our Employee Recognition Program, where the Council is recognizing employees with 20 years or more service as of October 2025. So this is exciting.

Communication and Public Affairs Director Kelly Corsette: Madam Mayor, members of City Council, thank you once again for welcoming us. I'm Kelly Corsette the Communications and Public Affairs Director and I'm actually going to hand the baton to Holly Peralta who is our Public Affairs Supervisor who has been running this recognition program for at least the ten years we were trying to calculate it in our heads. We are confident with that number. So I will hand it over to Holly.

Public Affairs Supervisor Holly Peralta: Thank you, Mayor Borowsky and City Councilmembers. We have once again gathered and we're pleased to have time this evening to honor city employees who have dedicated 20 or more years to the city of Scottsdale. Colleagues who are reaching those milestone anniversaries as you said this month. Through our years of service recognition program, employees reaching milestone anniversaries receive a modest gift of appreciation, including challenge coins or other items with special significance to Scottsdale. The employees achieving 20 years of service, for example, receive a small but beautiful Soleri bell.

We also thank the Mayor and the Council for providing a thank you card and gift card for each employee who completes their first year of service with the city and every milestone anniversary after that. It's all part of honoring those would answer the call of public service. The outstanding city staff who deliver the city's mission simply better service for a world-class community. To the colleagues who were joining us tonight, your service represents more than time, it reflects commitment, leadership and an enduring impact to our community.

The fullest of staff reaching these milestone anniversaries is on the screen before you. But tonight, three city staff celebrating 20 or more years of service with the city of Scottsdale are able to join us for this special moment of public recognition. So, I will call those names of those who are here with us tonight. And please make your way to the front of the Kiva. Celebrating 20 years of service: Robert Fisher, Michael Hitt, Eric Schwartz, Michael Seemiller and Meredith Tessier. Celebrating 25 years of service, Teri Raymond and Stacie Williamson. And we also have an employee celebrating 30 years of service, Teri Wardell. Because of all of you, Scottsdale is not only a great place to work and visit but a great place to work. Thank you for your decades of service, your loyalty, and the difference you continue to make every day.

I will ask the Mayor and the City Council to make their way down to the screen for a photograph and while they do that, I will ask for a round of applause. And do you we have anyone else out there who we didn't name that is here tonight?

EXECUTIVE SESSION

[Time: 00:12:00]

Mayor Borowsky: Next, we have public, possible Executive Session announcement. During tonight's meeting, Council may make a motion to recess into Executive Session, to obtain legal advice on any applicable item on the agenda, if authorized by the Council, the Executive Session will be held immediately. It will not be open to the public and we will resume following the Executive Session.

Next, we have I don't see anyone did there's not enough people here to make a motion to adjourn into Executive Session, but we don't need to do that anyway.

PUBLIC COMMENT

All right, next we have public comment, which is reserved for Scottsdale citizens, business owners and/or property owners to comment on non-agendized items that are within the Council's jurisdiction. No official Council action can be taken on these items, and speakers are limited to three minutes to address the Council. If you wish to speak on non-agendized or agendized topics, please see the city clerk. We'll start with Dan Ishac.

Dan Ishac: Mayor, since my comments are directly related to two absent Councilmembers can I wait until they return from their bathroom break?

Mayor Borowsky: I don't have any problem with that if you want to rotate to the back. There's six people speaking.

Dan Ishac: Thank you.

Mayor Borowsky: Next, we have Susan Wood who donated her time to Dan Ishac. I don't see Susan in attendance. Peggy Klein. Is Peggy here? Okay.

[Time: 00:13:36]

Peggy Klein: I will introduce myself. I'm Peggy Klein and I live in ZIP code 85251, video, please.

This is the first day of meteorological fall so we can now put a bow on summer and review these stats and this summer in Phoenix ranked number four for the hottest summers of all time. Check out the difference. Hottest record on summer in Phoenix, last year, 2024 with an average temperature of 98.9. It sure has increased over the years. When you look at that average from 1896 to 2025, that average was 90.4. But if you start at 2000, you can see how it's gotten a lot warmer. The average temperature of summer 94.7, but even in that 2025 is warmer than the new normal.

Thank you. Four of the past six summers have been the hottest in valley history. This is why although Scottsdale is our home, we no longer live here near live here year-round. In summertime, our sales tax goes to another community and every year we stay away longer. Slide one.

Phoenix is on track to meet the goals outlined in this 2024 action plan to increase tree canopy and build shade. Meanwhile Scottsdale doesn't even have a plan. Our shade and tree plan was supposed to be completed last December. Where is it? We had a sustainability plan. This Council repealed it. I have heard a lot of falsehoods about that plan from some members of this Council. For example, that it would mandate rationing of water and electricity; that it was Scottsdale's green new deal, that it contained no

actions. Well, these are just three of the actions in that plan related to trees and build shade. I don't see anything terribly radical here. For example, develop partnership with local utilities for tree planting programs, promote shading for the site hard scape on existing commercial and multifamily developments. Why who doesn't look for a shaded parking space and wish there was more of them?

And lastly, develop educational resources about above and below ground space needs for tree health. Too often trees are destined to die or be cut down because of how and where they are planted. How sad it is to see an empty, bear dirt planter that used to be landscaped and what a waste of resources. More and more, I hear people making plans to leave, and not just for the summer, but moving away for cooler places. Extreme heat is a threat to our health, our economy, our property values and our desert. You repeatedly, you repealed the sustainability plan. What is your plan to address the root causes of our increasingly hotter city? Thank you.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you very much. Steve Sutton.

[Time: 00:17:37]

Steve Sutton: Good evening, honorable Lisa Borowsky, Mayor of Scottsdale and other Councilmembers. Last week you voted to send a resident petition to the City Manager for investigation and a report. The petition specifies what residents are expecting of you and the City Manager. Residents expect an investigation to be conducted that will determine if a multistory garage expansion at the Brown Avenue parking corral is actually needed and is not just something wanted by a small group of people motivated by business and political self-interest. Residents expect an investigation to be conducted that will determine if alternatives to building a garage are sufficient for current and future parking needs.

In conducting these investigations, residents expect that all city managers to use all investigative measure, including an independent parking and traffic study of the southern that includes ride sharing service impact, use of better signs directing drivers to parking areas, electronic monitoring of parking to provide street side real-time information to drivers and then making agreements with the owners of privately owned parking areas for public use of their facilities.

There's several other factors other than the results of the investigations previously mentioned that the Council should consider before signing a construction contract for a garage at the parking corral. Tonight, due to the time constraints, I can only mention one. Scottsdale residents love the farmer's market. The farmer's market is an institution, a tradition, a memory-filled destination that Scottsdale residents love. If the farmers market is moved from the parking corral, many Scottsdale residents will not be happy. Regardless of any investigative results, and the best intentions of the City Council, if Scottsdale loses its farmer's market because the owners refuse to move or closes due to the new location being financially unviable, Scottsdale residents are going to be furious. Moving the farmers market from the parking corral is not just finding a vacant spot. The location must be logistically feasible or set up an operational layout. If it is not that, that alone could hinder operation to the point of closure. The location must also be convenient for the farmers market's customers, another consideration is the need for the Scottsdale's farmers market to continue to financially benefit the brick and mortar retails in

historic Old Town near its current location.

If the Scottsdale City Council follows through with their intent to relocate the farmers market to the parking lot on the back side of City Hall, that may result in the loss the farmers market that may cause some members of the Council as the people who forever killed the Scottsdale farmers market. Thank you, Mayor Borowsky.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you very much. And now circling back to Dan Ishac and I see you have donated time from Sue Wood and will four minutes cut it for you? Let's do four.

[Time: 00:21:16]

Dan Ishac: Mayor, Dan Ishac, address on record. I had three topics and I will defer one because I haven't heard back from the city attorney regarding a very first amendment case, and I want Mr. Kwasman to be present as well.

Two topics then. The first has to do with Jan Dubauskas claiming a potential conflict of interest over a voluntary nonpaid, nondecision making advisory only board candidate. Again, there is no legal justification to have that concern because it is nondecision making, advisory, voluntary, nonpaid. Contrast that oh, and her concern was because the person had an association with an organization that's suing the city.

I want to contrast that with Kathy Littlefield who is a member of TAAAZE, who is married to Bob Littlefield, who is the head of TAAAZE who has filed a lawsuit against Scottsdale, and members of city of Scottsdale. And she is a paid decision maker for the city of Scottsdale. I'm wondering why Jan had no issue with Kathy voting on anything related to Axon but raised a false issue of somebody who had no decision making. Ethics should be implied consistently.

Second topic goes to the many mis-statements and information and omissions regarding the petition by TAAAZE at the last meeting. Kathy started them by saying we had a statutory requirement, her words, to hold it in November. She's incorrect. The City Manager, the City Clerk and I assume reviewed by the City Attorney advised the entire Council that it was not required to be in November of 2026. So, her statement was categorically false.

Barry Graham repeatedly talked about statutory and constitutional scheduling. He not use the word "required" because he knew it wasn't required but he figured if he would say statutory and constitutionally scheduled people would believe that had has to be in 2026. That is incorrect. His next comment was that 27,000 people were led to believe that the election would be in November of 2026. Now, let's start with the preposterous claim that he knew what was in the mind of 27,000 people. Barry, you collected few if any of the actual signatures, so you are not in a position to say what people thought. There are dozens of petition circulators who would contradict Barry's statement and said that the date of the election was not even discussed in the collection of signatures.

But let's also talk about 27,000, if I could add the overhead. It's about time that Barry and TAAAZE stop saying that 27,000 people signed. This is an extract of how many signatures were subject to validation, which was only 23,673 because the other 3,000 didn't meet the basic requirements and then based on sampling that was further reduced to 19,000. So let's stop lying about the 27,000.

Also, let's talk about what the general election is. The petition language, specifically said the next general election or city or town election. So, the language of the petition does not suggest November 2026. And by the way, if you care about the next general election, most of you have received this that says we are about to have a general election in 2025, in November. So, the next general election is actually next month.

Barry also lied about the costs associated with the election. He said it would be \$700,000, even though the memo from the City Clerk said it would only be 615 and he failed to mention that the election in November of 2026 would cost \$327,000. So it's really on 300,000 incremental cost, not \$700,00, that's quite an error for an accountant. And by the way, we could have had it in November of 2025 without any additional costs. I have more mis-statements, but I'm limited by time. So I will take my seat.

Mayor Borowsky: Was that four minutes? That was four minutes.

Mayor Borowsky: Okay. Thank you. Thank you, Dan, appreciate it. That concludes the public comments.

Councilman Graham: Mayor.

Mayor Borowsky: Councilman Graham. Councilwoman Littlefield.

[Time: 00:26:23]

Councilwoman Littlefield: Thank you, Mayor. I would just like to make one correction, Mr. Ishac, I am not a member of TAAAZE, I don't go to those meetings, and my husband doesn't really tell me, unless it's something I overhear on the telephone that's about it, in which I close the door. My husband is. I didn't even know he was a president until it came out in one of these meetings and he told me was. That is not at all correct, just for the record. Thank you.

Mayor Borowsky: Pardon me. I'm going to interrupt this. We overlooked one speaker. Bryan Scott, are you here? While he makes his way down, Councilman Graham, go ahead.

Councilman Graham: I will just respond briefly. A lot of aspersions cast at my colleagues, Councilwoman Dubauskas and Littlefield were regretful and inaccurate and mean spirited and Mr. Ishac, it should be noted he altered what was the wording in the referendum by omitting the word "regular" for the next regular general election. So that was altered in his presentation. And Councilwoman Littlefield's affiliation has been looked into and the conflict of interest has been debunked over and over and that has been settled and I don't appreciate the attacks on somebody who I think enjoys widespread resident support. Thank you.

Mayor Borowsky: All right. Bryan Scott. Please continue.

[Time: 00:27:09]

Bryan Scott: Thank you, Council. First, I would like to commend the parks and recs department for the awesome rewind '90s night. It was fun and a great use of the Civic Center.

Tonight, I want to talk about Scottsdale's brand. I was surprised to find according Experience Scottsdale, Scottsdale's brand is effortless revitalization. Not heritage, not horses, not the west's most western town. It's about leaving Scottsdale feeling better than when you arrived. Sounds more like a day spa than a city. Experience Scottsdale receives around \$15 million per year in public tourism dollars. And the CEO, Rachel Sacco, while not a public employee, pays herself more than \$700,000 salary per year. More than the governor of Arizona, more than the President and double what the City Manager earns. At what point do we ask, what exactly are we revitalizing?

Meanwhile, Scottsdale's western identity is being sidelined for luxury branding and wellness buzz words. So, I'm asking a simple question, what oversight exists over Experience Scottsdale? Because \$700,000 in public money doesn't feel effortless to many Scottsdale residents. I respectfully request the City Manager detail Experience Scottsdale's current contract with the city, the executive compensation structure and what accountability metrics are in place.

Lastly, I will leave you with two Google reviews, "Experience Scottsdale was extraordinarily difficult to find. They didn't even have staff present a staff person at their booth. They said they were open Monday to Friday, one place and another location said they were open Wednesday to Sunday. Maybe they need to get somebody who understands communications. They were of no help to us in understanding or experiencing Scottsdale. Fortunately, we're pretty good at figuring out stuff on our own." Another review says "I called four times within a week, and pressed every option there is, nobody has returned my calls. I don't think anyone even works there."

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you. All right. Now that concludes public comment on non-agendized items.

MINUTES

[Time: 00:30:44]

So, we will move to the approval of the Meeting Minutes. I would entertain a motion to approve Special Meeting Work Study and possible Executive Session Minutes of August 18, 2025 and Regular Meeting and Work Study Session Minutes of August 25, 2025.

Councilwoman Littlefield: So moved.

Councilwoman Whitehead: Second.

Mayor Borowsky: All those in favor, please register your vote. Excellent.

CONSENT AGENDA

[Time: 00:31:12]

Next, we have Consent Agenda items 1 through 11B. Do any members of the Council have any questions or wish to Yeah, we will go to actually, Councilwoman Littlefield, I think we'll go to public comment first. Okay? Thank you so much.

PUBLIC COMMENT

And we have public comment. First, let's start with Howard Back and this is item number 4, the 68th Street Storm Drain Project I.G.A.

Harold Back: Mayor, City Councilors, ladies and gentlemen, good evening. It is almost four years since we approached this Council to develop a sidewalk on 68th Street between Camelback and Indian School Road. And regardless of the urgency of the project today, I can still not walk on 68th Street. You cannot walk on 68th Street and no citizen of the city of Scottsdale can safely walk down that sidewalk. So today as you consider this item on the consent agenda, it's last technical piece needed to advance this project. We're asking you for your support and hope that you can enthusiastically embrace a project that gives us an opportunity for the city of Scottsdale to have a win for something constructive that advances the community, makes things better and really does a good job for everybody. It shows that you can work together and shows that you can advance the community for the best interest of the community. We want to thank you for your support and your ongoing diligence in advancing this project and thank you very much for your time. Good evening.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you very much. Next on item number 5, Parada Del Sol Parade and Trails End Festival Event Funding. We have Bryan Scott again, followed by Noah-James Markham.

[Time: 00:33:09]

Bryan Scott: Councilmembers, I'm here to show my strong support for the Parada Del Sol parade. Frankly, I'm surprised it's not funded even more. This is Scottsdale's signature event, a celebration of western heritage, family fun and civic pride. No other city has anything like it! It's one of the best days the year! It's part of what makes Scottsdale, Scottsdale. January 31st, don't miss it.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you for that advertisement, we appreciate it. Excellent. Well done. Next, we have Noah-James Markham.

[Time: 00:34:03]

Noah-James Markham: Aloha, City Council and to the rest of the I mean Aloha Mayor and to the rest of

the City Council. I'm from the great city of Tempe. I would like to talk about agenda 5, which I agree I know we should keep on funding events because we are Arizona. And also, if we continue events like these or concerts and any other events in Scottsdale, that we should always require a sign language interpreter, no matter what event is going on.

Consent agenda item 11, I agree that we should be spending this especially with people with disabilities. And they have the right accommodations so they can SUCCEED successfully at school, an education system that maybe people that are in the schools that they can teach police officers how to have better education with people with disabilities. So, yes, I agree that we need to fund education, as a democrat myself, information from Donald Trump saying that stop taking Tylenol because it's causing autism is not education.

I also agree that women can understand other women, that they have the right choose if they want to have an abortion and it's their body choice. And education of the people about women have miscarriages is another thing, and all about the doctor and the woman I love...

Mayor Borowsky: Excuse me. Excuse me, sir. This public comment is reserved for the agendized items only. So, if you could keep your comments to that. We ask the same of every speaker. We would sure appreciate it.

Noah-James Markham: And education system, especially democratic women, I hope we can have more education with D.E.I., with education, mahalo, and thank you for listening to me today.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you for coming to join us. Appreciate it. That concludes all the public speakers on the Consent Agenda items. So, circling back to I think we left off with Councilwoman Littlefield so, I will start with you.

[Time: 00:36:30]

Councilwoman Littlefield: I just had a quick question for the City Manager, please. On item on number 7, on Consent, it has to do with 160 temporary horse stall panels to be put up at WestWorld in our continuing efforts to upgrade WestWorld and keep it going. I had thought during some previous conversations that some of the increases in the number of stalls that would go up there would be permanent. Are they all going to be temporary so they can be removed or are we planning on increasing the number of permanent stalls as well?

City Manager Greg Caton: Madam Mayor and Councilwoman Littlefield, I will call a colleague here as he approaches the podium to explain the detail, I will stretch time a little bit and say this item is a follow-up to our discussion several months ago about some short-term items. And specifically, the stalls we have temporary stalls that have fallen in disrepair, and this is meant to address that. We also have a bond project that over years brings some permanent stalls, but my colleague here can speak in greater detail to your question. Thank you.

WestWorld General Manager Will Lofdahl: For the Mayor and the Councilor, yes, indeed, we are as the City Manager has indicated, we have both temporary stalls and permanent stalls and we are in the process of replacing the permanent stalls too as a project that has been going for the last couple of years so that we always have good permanent stalls. Our temporary stalls are in need of replacement and that's why item number seven is before you today.

Councilwoman Littlefield: Thank you very much. I just wanted to make sure that we were keeping up with the need for the permanent stalls as well.

Will Lofdahl: Thank you very much.

Councilwoman Littlefield: Thank you.

Mayor Borowsky: Councilwoman Whitehead.

[Time: 00:38:28]

Councilwoman Whitehead: Thank you, Mayor. I have a couple items I want to comment on. First of all, the sidewalk, 68th Street sidewalk, thrilled to see that moving forward with the drainage project. We certainly are efficient. I am also commend staff. We talked about the public address system, already on the agenda. Good job, City Manager. And I want to call out our solid waste folks. I don't know if they are still here or if they are hiding, but, yay, we just got two grants for recycling. We generate so much there they are. I see them in the back. We got grants, they got grants and we have so much cardboard at WestWorld and now the city will be able to recycle that. And then the food waste diversion grant. So, congratulations to all staff involved in these items.

Mayor Borowsky: Councilman Graham.

Councilman Graham: Thank you Mayor. I wanted to call out funding for Parada Del Sol, which is already funded. I'm not wearing a cool hat and celebrating it as enthused as other people, but I think this is going to be well, it's the 75th year of what was first year? Does anybody know of Parada Del Sol? I wonder if this was a landmark year or not, a benchmark.

Mayor Borowsky: We have the executive director. We have an expert Dennis Robbins. Is it 75 years?

Councilman Graham: I don't think Parada is going on as long as the city's been around.

Mayor Borowsky: The Rodeo started before.

Charros Executive Director Dennis Robbins: Mayor, members of Council, I was afraid you would see me back there. No, I think the Parada is two years younger than the actual city is. So, I think it started in '53. So, this would be the 73rd Parada but we're celebrating the 75th anniversary of the city at the Parada.

Councilman Graham: We should recognize two term former Councilman Dennis Robbins who also leads the Charros. Anything else we can say about you?

[Time: 00:40:33]

Dennis Robbins: No, I wish I had a cool hat. I love this guy. He says we should get more money. We thank you very much for everything you do for the Parada. We are happy to be a part of it.

Councilman Graham: These members, my colleagues we trust this year will be bigger and better than ever.

Dennis Robbins: Absolutely. 100%. And you all will be in it.

Councilman Graham: Can't wait. Calendars are marked.

Mayor Borowsky: Dennis, stay up there for one minute. I wanted to take this opportunity to sing the accolades of the Charros who took this event over fully over the last three years. I was a proud member of the foundation board of the Charros for six years and during my term and your tenure, the Charros stepped back in and took this event over, it's been exceedingly successful and growing year over year. So great job on that. Really appreciate it. Looking forward to this year.

Dennis Robbins: Thank you. Yeah, thank you for all of your support.

Councilman Graham: I didn't want to bring it up to add pressure.

Dennis Robbins: Yeah.

Councilman Graham: But now the pressure is on.

Dennis Robbins: Thank you, no, the pressure is on you. Correctly.

Councilman Graham: We'll share the credit. Who gets the blame?

Dennis Robbins: Yeah, right.

Councilman Graham: Thank you, Dennis.

Dennis Robbins: Thank you very much.

Councilman Graham: And then I have one more comment on the item that my colleague Councilwoman Littlefield brought up, number 5, I'm sorry, number 7 Bond 29 project. Another bond checked off 58 project list. We are working as a city to get those done. We fell behind and got bitten by the inflation. We got bitten by the teeth of inflation but we're playing catchup now and we're happy to

check another one of those lines off the list and I'm seeing nods from our City Treasurer and our City Manager. So, thank you for your collaboration in executing. This thank you, Mayor.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you. So, seeing no more comments, now I would like to entertain a motion to approve Consent Agenda items 1 through 11B.

Councilwoman McAllen: So moved.

Councilwoman Whitehead: Second.

Mayor Borowsky: All those in favor, indicate your vote. All right.

REGULAR AGENDA – ITEM 12

[Time: 00:43:01]

Moving on to Regular Agenda items, actually, we will start with number 12. And I, this is a staff request to adopt ordinance 4693, amending our revised coat Chapter 2, Article V division 17 relating to the Budget Review Commission. And I am going to make a motion to continue this item, because the Chair of this commission, who is my appointee, resigned before he got started and I've selected a a new Chair as a result, who is going through the process of getting credentialed and situated as the Chair of the commission. So, I would like to include that person's input in this process. And as a result, I'd ask the Council I'm going to make a motion to continue this to the next regular agenda. He's part way through the process from what I understand. So, there won't be any significant delay.

Councilwoman McAllen: Second.

Councilwoman Whitehead: I...

Councilwoman McAllen: I just seconded it.

Mayor Borowsky: Councilwoman Whitehead.

Councilwoman Whitehead: I have a question. So, I have heard from some BRC members a desire to like the other commissions to be able to vote and choose their own Chair. And there's some confusion about whether that has to be done before the current well, it should be done before the current the newest member is appointed Chair and I wonder if I mean, I support a continuance, but I don't want to miss an opportunity to make that slight change to the commission and have the members have the new person brought on, but have the members have the opportunity to vote on their own Chair. Is that agreeable to my colleagues?

Mayor Borowsky: City attorney?

[Time: 00:45:17]

Interim City Attorney Luis Santaella: Mayor and members of the Council, I wasn't sure if Councilwoman Whitehead was posing a question? If so, I can answer that question.

Councilwoman Whitehead: Yeah, of course, it's a question, can we so I don't mind continuing until the entire BRC is seated, but I know that I would prefer to see the members get together, pick a Chair and move on, but I don't know if we preclude that opportunity if we don't make that change tonight.

Luis Santaella: So, the Council could vote to make that change tonight. If it doesn't make that change, the Mayor's pick will be the Chair of the Budget Review Commission. However, if the Council later makes that change, at a later date, it would not preclude the commission from adopting its own rules and changing how the Chair is selected.

Councilwoman Whitehead: Okay. Thank you. Mayor, may I make an alternate motion? If I have the floor. I would like to, unless other people want to speak first. Okay. I will just go ahead and make an alternate motion, just to continue with the exception of changing the policy on the Chair tonight, but I will wait and hear what my colleagues have to say.

Mayor Borowsky: Councilwoman Littlefield.

Councilwoman Littlefield: Thank you, Mayor. Thank you, Councilwoman. I do have an alternate motion that I would like to make. I would like to adopt Ordinance 4693 relating to the Budget Review Commission, as presented in the following amendments: The language of and I quote, with the Mayor appointing one member who shall serve as Chair of the commission, unquote, being deleted and repealed under Section 2-381 subsection (B) a new subsection (C) is added to 2-328 to read as follows, establish the procedure for selecting a Chair and a Vice Chair of the commission. Thank you. I think that's basically what you had in mind, Solange.

Councilwoman Whitehead: Yeah, actually I was envisioning other changes too. Okay. I will second that.

Mayor Borowsky: Councilman Graham?

Councilman Graham: Yeah, so did you I'm confused up here. We didn't have a presentation. I thought we would have a presentation. We have a public speaker on this item.

Mayor Borowsky: Which we had a motion to continue which got turned into an alternative motion.

Councilman Graham: I think we had a motion to continue, a few alternate motions and one of them being to approve the item which was a good motion that I support, but I oppose continuing this, any part of it personally. But I thought we should carry maybe we just address the motion to continue and then we hear the public speaker, we hear the presentation and then we vote on the item.

Councilwoman Whitehead, you made the motion. Is that satisfactory.

Councilwoman Whitehead: Yeah, actually, I think that is a good point. I would like the presentation and the public remarks and then so I will retrack even my second and my motion until that is there a prepared presentation?

Mayor Borowsky: I if I may interrupt. I will withdraw my motion to continue spending the presentation. How is that? So now there's no motion on the table. If you will do the same, Councilwoman Littlefield?

Councilwoman Littlefield: Okay.

Mayor Borowsky: We'll hear the presentation. Thank you.

[Time: 00:49:16]

City Treasurer Sonia Andrews: Thank you. Next slide. So just some background about the Budget Review Commission or BRC for the larger audience, the Budget Review Commission was established this year in January. The purpose of the BRC is to review and advise Council on the proposed budget, major revenue forecasts and budget policies, and the work of the BRC does not replace the responsibilities of the City Council. City Council remains fully responsible for the city budget, assessment of any taxes and fees and setting budget policies. Next slide.

The Budget Review Commission conducted a series of meetings between February and April of this year to review budget topics and the proposed budget for the fiscal year. After the series of the meetings, we received very positive feedback from the Budget Review Commission members, staff, and Council, for the work that they did. We also received feedback from the BRC members themselves to provide additional clarity on their work and also to simplify their process.

The main interests the Budget Review Commission members is to make sure that they have clear direction from Council on review of budget topics, and also that they don't want to place undue burden on staff time and resources. With the feedback that we received and the interest of the BRC members and staff, we are proposing several code amendments for the BRC. Next page, please.

The first amendment is to clarify the work the BRC. The primary purpose of the BRC is to review the proposed budget the staff that is developed before it goes to Council that includes reviewing the budget appropriations that are proposed including any new requests, new appropriations, revenue projections and such. Next slide.

The next proposed amendment is to simplify the recommendation process. Currently the existing ordinance requires the BRC to provide recommendations in a written report, which adds significant commission time and staff resources. The proposed change would allow the recommendations to be either in a written report to be presented to Council, or in a public presentation to Council. This would cut down on commission and staff time. And the proposed change would also require that recommendations be reviewed, discussed and approved by a quorum of the BRC. Next slide.

[Time: 00:52:01]

This next proposed amendment is to add a section to allow the BRC members to do some in-depth reviews at the direction of Council. The BRC is currently not specifically authorized to do any in-depth reviews or audits of that nature of operations. If Council would like them to do some in-depth examination and analysis of topics or areas, here's what it could look like in practice. Annually the BRC would identify some focus areas, develop a work plan for Council consideration, and approval. BRC members may examine detailed records with department staff, based on this work plan. There are some concerns with this approach. These in-depth reviews or deep dives as we call them, could take up significant staff time, it could be duplicative of what the city manager or city auditor is doing and it may not necessarily yield any benefit to the city and it may also inadvertently override the meeting laws. The BRC members are fully aware of these concerns and they have no desire to be burdensome of the staff and violate any open meeting laws. I wanted the Council to understand that we are fully aware of these concerns and there's no desire to do these.

This section being proposed is written to allow the BRC to identify some focus areas for the deep dives for Council approval. The Council may want to change that where Council is identifying the focus areas for the BRC instead of the BRC identifying the focus areas and if Council would like to do that, you can make a floor amendment to change this section, or keep it as is, or if you do not want them to do any deep dives, you can make a floor amendment to remove it all together. And next slide.

And the last proposed amendment just adds language to clarify that the work of the BRC, especially with the work plan is approved is not intended to replace or conflict the City Manager or City Treasurer's responsibility under the City Charter.

And with that, I can answer any questions and the motion basically is to adopt the Ordinance 4693 to amend the code related to the Budget Review Commission.

Mayor Borowsky: So, I will skip the motion to continue. I don't gage much support for that. Would you like to restate your motion, Councilwoman Littlefield?

Councilman Graham: Speaker.

Mayor Borowsky: Councilwoman McAllen.

Councilman Graham: Public speaker.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you. I don't see David Smith. I think he left. Oh, there you are. You're hiding. Are you hiding from us? Well, you're up.

[Time: 00:54:58]

David Smith: I'm sorry, I can hear better back there where the speaker is. Good evening, Mayor

Borowsky and Council. Again, as each of you know, I served as the Chairman of the 2025 Budget Review Commission earlier this year, and I Chaired our joint meeting with you folks on April 22, when the commission gave you our findings. And our written report, I think describes 75 or 80 different items for Council's consideration related to the current budget, as well as future budgets. And I've now handed off the baton of the Chairman, but I want to talk about these proposed changes that staff is asking you to approve tonight for the next commission. They're supporting the City Treasurer's report supporting this Council report dated October 1, describes these proposed change these proposed changes as quote, several enhancements to the duty the commission that would help to make the work of the commission more efficient and effective. And I personally don't agree with that prediction. Frankly, the most substantive change that is being made in Ordinance 4660, appeared in paragraph D which is, in fact, limiting the scope and the authority of the commission. If you read the first part of that paragraph D, the first few lines, it describes what we all understand to be the scope of the commission when it was created earlier this year. Specifically, it begins, it says, each fiscal year the commission may conduct in-depth examinations and analyses of specific budget topics or review additional matters related to the city revenues and expenditures for the purpose of making recommendations for Council. And that's what your commission did earlier this year in the process of developing those 75 or 80 different items for your consideration.

But now the provision will be that if staff wants to do this, they have to propose before they do this review, they have to propose, prepare a work plan, number one; then submit that work plan to Council for your vendor view and approval in whole or in part. Then number three, if you approve the work plan, then it comes back to the commission and then they can begin their review and after that, perhaps they can develop recommendations to submit to Council.

And then finally as the city treasurer indicated there's an item in paragraph E that she proposes that states the office that nothing is intended to conflict with the charter or the responsibilities of City Manager or the Treasurer. I frankly recommend that these so-called enhancements be struck: Item D does nothing more to add to efficiency of what we did, or would do in the future. And item E is redundant. Thank you very much.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you, David. Appreciate that. That's very helpful. Councilwoman McAllen.

[Time: 00:58:30]

Councilwoman McAllen: Thank you, Mayor. I just want to clarify that we have a standing Audit Committee for the city, and deep dives are done through the Audit Department with experts and so I just want to clarify with our City Treasurer that the job of the BRC is not to audit but to make recommendations and make sure that the city is using its money wisely.

Sonia Andrews: Mayor and Councilwoman McAllen. That is correct. That's the understanding of the staff, of City Manager and myself that the Budget Review Commission is to review the budget and they are asking us detailed questions with respect to the budget. But these deep dives are a little bit beyond the scope of what we believe is the Budget Review Commission's task. They are looking into operational

processes or trying to understand specific detail work that the staff does, and so those are the things that or specific topics that may not, so for example, I can give you an example. There may be an interest in doing a deep dive into impact fees and the impact fees we do have a consultant producing the studies. We do have Council thoroughly reviewing and approving impact fees. And so doing a deep dive into how impact fees are developed, how impact fee laws govern our use and calculation of impact fees, is not directly necessarily related to the review of the budget. But if Council would like them to do a deep dive into impact fees then we propose it be put into a work plan for Council to provide direction and approval.

[Time: 00:58:30]

Councilwoman McAllen: Mayor, can I comment on that? So, you are suggesting if something like that does come up, then that would be a directive to the City Auditor not to the BRC?

Sonia Andrews: That's correct. So, if Council was concerned with whether we are applying impact fees correctly or calculating impact fees correctly, that could be directed to the City Auditor to look into that, and audit our, you know, calculating assessment and use of impact fees.

Councilwoman McAllen: Thank you.

Mayor Borowsky: Councilman Graham.

Councilman Graham: Thank you, Mayor. The I'm okay with most of these changes. I guess I kind of want to get a sense from my colleagues, it's I am sympathetic to former Councilmember and former Budget Review Commission Chair Section 2-383.D. Before initiating such reviews, the commission shall prepare a work plan and submit it to the City Council for approval. Upon receiving direction from the City Council and approval of the work plan, I guess I kind of wanted to get a sense from my colleagues if they would be okay with changing approval to consideration.

And the reason why I ask that I don't feel that strongly about it, but I hear what he's saying and I guess the one the one thought I have is that, like, David Smith told us, they go and put a work plan together and then they draft it and take it to their commission and they approve it and then they send it to the City Council and then we look at it and then we approve it. And then weeks later, they want to look at something else, and this will, this will prevent that. This will prohibit anything else. So, if one of us up here says, well, what happened with that, or this came up, or there's, you know, attention over here or, like, there was a poor performance, we can't do anything with it, until we it approve it in a work plan. So, Mr. Parliamentarian, how do I, I want to can I, like can I make a motion with my colleagues to consider that without making a motion about the overall thing? Can I parliamentarian-wise do that? I kind of want to to throw that out there.

Luis Santaella: Mayor and members of Council, before I answer Councilman Graham's question, I do want to make a statement regarding that particular work plan issue. I think the intent behind it is to make sure that the budget commission follows your direction and doesn't exceed their authority. I think

that's the idea. But in terms of your question, Councilman Graham, what you're asking is whether you could make a motion to amend that particular provision or...

Councilman Graham: No, I just want to make I kind of want to do a I kind of want to do, count the votes if anybody would be okay. I'm kind of getting some pushback on it. That would be my desire to make that "consider" as opposed to "approve" now. If I want to say a few more things about that. I agree with nobody is a bigger advocate for scope limiting than me. Rabbit holing, getting off course, and we saw it with the Budget Review Commission, it happened all the time. And then staff is being whipsawed and has whiplash from every different direction. I don't want that. I think that the Budget Review Commission is is will only succeed during the period of time that it's operating if it has a limited scope. So, I just don't want to I don't want to handcuff them and put too many guardrails. Because I kind of want to leave enough flexibility for our budget review commissioners in their proceedings. So, I will pause my comments for now, and let my other colleagues speak.

Mayor Borowsky: Councilwoman Whitehead.

[Time: 01:05:03]

Councilwoman Whitehead: So, I think we tried the first year it was wide open. I would be all in for focusing in on the budget. I would support this item but I with the exception of Section 2-383. So, I I don't agree with this year having these deep dives because of the for anybody, I watched every single BRC meeting, and they were hours and hours long, with all of our staff. Many of our directors and charter officers in these meeting. So, for the sake of efficiency, you know, every year these things are not etched in stone. I would like to see as proposed the focus just on the budget with the removal of Section 2-383 and then that's where I stand. And then I will support doing that tonight. And, of course, the business with the Chair.

Mayor Borowsky: Councilwoman Littlefield.

Councilwoman Littlefield: Actually, I agree with Councilwoman Whitehead on that. I think that those are good ideas. Thank you.

Mayor Borowsky: No, I don't need a motion, City Attorney?

Luis Santaella: Mayor and members of the Council, just to clarify, I think we were talking about Section 2-383 subsection D, not the entire section.

Councilwoman Whitehead: Yes, correct, sorry.

Councilman Graham: Councilwoman Whitehead, I didn't quite understand your point. Could you clarify?

[Time: 01:06:51]

Councilwoman Whitehead: How about I now I will have to pull up the item. I would like to move to adopt I would like to vote on the tonight's item, with the exception with the removal of Section 2-383 (D) and a requirement did or a change that the Chair...

Councilman Graham: No, it's not in here.

Councilwoman Whitehead: Okay. Yeah. So, my motion would be to adopt this item with the deletion of Section 2-383 (D) and the additional change that the BRC commissioners in their first full meeting vote and appoint a Chair.

Councilwoman Littlefield: I will second that. That is exactly what my motion was. Thank you.

Councilman Graham: Okay.

Mayor Borowsky: City attorney?

Luis Santaella: If we could have the Councilwoman state the ordinance number.

Councilwoman Whitehead: Sorry, I didn't have it printed in front of me. Alright, I move to adopt item 12, Budget Review Commission Code Amendment, Ordinance 4693, amending Chapter 2, Article V division 17, relating to the Budget Review Commission, with the deletion of Section 2.383 (D) and the addition of a requirement that the Budget Review Commission when full in their first meeting appoint a Chair from within.

Luis Santaella: Councilwoman, would you consider adding to your motion that you authorize the City attorney and other charter officers to make informing changes to the ordinance for that purpose?

Councilwoman Whitehead: Yes.

Councilwoman Littlefield: And I will agree with my second to that.

[Time: 01:09:00]

Mayor Borowsky: All right, I haven't spoken at all. I'm completely opposed to all of this. I mean our first year with the Budget Review Commission, was completely and artificially condensed because the time they were seated. So this will be the first real opportunity for the Budget Review Commission to actually work together with the city staff throughout the entire process and now the Council is trying to tie their hands about how where they can go with respect to scope without coming back, asking permission, coming back to the Council, get approval, which could be a four-week time frame. So, I think this is a real setback and completely undermines the spirit and the potential benefits of the Budget Review Commission. So because it was laborious and time consuming to staff and to participants, so we should

check their reins already when they had a mere two months really to get this get into the meat of this and do their work and now we are starting eight months in advance on this process and we're going to check their reins and tie their hands behind their back. I don't agree with that at all. I completely oppose it. I think that just doing this year to check their reins and tying their hands behind their back and listening to politely is not the way we should be going on this.

I mean, a rotation of Chair and depriving me as the Mayor of appointing the Chair is just another swipe at undermining the responsibilities and inherent direction of the Mayor of Scottsdale, Arizona, and I think that's the wrong direction. This will be the first full year of having a Chair having direct appointments, direct appointments are just that. They are a direct appointment of the Mayor as a result the Chair. That would be the Chair. So, I would be in favor of waiting a year and if the process did not work, revisiting this, but I'm completely opposed to everything that's being discussed. Councilman Graham.

[Time: 01:11:23]

Councilman Graham: Thank you, Mayor. I want to clarify the motion on the floor. Councilwoman Whitehead removed Section 2-383 (D) you struck that entire okay. Maybe we could have a little bit of a dialogue. I was, I still okay. So, the the Mayor showed some indignation about limiting the scope, but Councilwoman Whitehead's motion does the exact opposite. It keeps the scope completely wide open. Are you okay that this doesn't sort of seem to rein that in a little bit. I wanted to find a midpoint between letting them veer in any direction and letting them, we kind of funnel them to certain directions. Can you explain about the deletion of that paragraph?

Councilwoman Whitehead: Yes, Sure. Mayor may I have the floor?

Mayor Borowsky: Of course.

Councilwoman Whitehead: I do perhaps this is an item that needs clarification. My goal in making this deletion is to limit deep dives and have the full focus on the budget itself. Did I misunderstand yeah, Sonia can you?

Sonia Andrews: Yeah, so just to clarify, these are some of the areas that the commission would like to deep dive into based on their prior year their current year recommendation, considering removal of the food tax, deep dive into unfilled positions, which the City Manager is already doing. So that would be duplicative and deep dive into impact fees which that might not yield any benefit, consideration of eliminations of certain programs. So, these are just an example of some of the deep dives.

Councilwoman Whitehead: Thank you. So, yes, what I and I first of all, I want to address the Mayor's comment. This BRC is in existence because of our Mayor and I'm very pleased about that. And I, in no way, shape or form am trying to undermine the Mayor's authority on this commission, however, I have heard and it makes sense, people like to self-govern that some commission members would like to choose their own Chair. So, and also, I want to specify that I think the focus on the budget, by limiting

the focus, we're actually helping the second year succeed better than the first year. And so that's the intent of my motion, but not in any way to limit to limit, but we don't expect the Preserve Commission to being into parks and, et cetera.

So, I want to the Budget Review Commissioners to focus strictly on the budget and not have these deep dives this year. That is my goal but to make and I think these other modifications, we learned a lot. I agree with the Mayor. That was that commission worked hard. So did staff, because of the short time frame. I just want to get it right this year. And if we swing a little too far in narrowing that focus, we can always adjust it later. I hope that helps Councilman Graham.

Mayor Borowsky: Councilwoman Dubauskas.

Councilman Graham: Oh, Mayor?

Mayor Borowsky: Councilman Graham.

[Time: 01:15:05]

Councilman Graham: I asked Councilwoman Whitehead a question and I kind of still had the floor, if you don't mind. Thank you, Councilwoman Dubauskas. That makes sense to me. So, we're deleting paragraph D. We're also deleting a lot of this operating budget, capital budget. I am concerned that we are narrowing the scope. Some of the things, City Treasurer said about duplicativeness, Open Meeting Law violations, wrong department, not my department, if this were a movie and we are another city, that's what the malevolent, you know, city worker would say to cover up the tracks their tracks. So thankfully we have high integrity, honest employees but we're but we're looking we're thinking about the system. And the incentives are in the system.

So, I have some reservations that we are narrowing the scope too much this year, however, as Councilwoman Whitehead said, we can always broaden it later. So, I don't want to hamstring our commissioners. I want this commission to succeed. I think one of the problems was that was just the careening into, you know, new topics that were unrelated and, oh, what about this? And what about that? And then we would off ramp and before we knew it, we don't know how we got back we don't know how no get back to where we started. We don't want that. We want them to succeed. We want a nice you know, we want a back yard with a playground and the fences, and we have boundaries. I'm not trying to infantilize them or anything. I appreciate my colleague explaining that to me and I will be supporting the motion on the floor.

Mayor Borowsky: Councilwoman Dubauskas.

Councilwoman Dubauskas: Thank you. With the deletion of D, you did express some concern about unbounded. Do you feel that there has been stuff discussion from Councilmembers tonight?

Councilwoman McAllen saying we're not intending this to be an audit. We do have an Audit Committee. And Councilman Graham and Whitehead's comments. Do you think it's helpful so if we have D deleted

at that time commission will have enough direction from Council to be able to proceed? And then also, maybe this does address the issue of if a work plan were developed and a really good idea came along a couple months later, the lack of flexibility, maybe that would give this flexibility if necessary. Are you comfortable with D being removed and having sufficient direction for the BRC?

[Time: 01:17:56]

Sonia Andrews: Yes, Mayor, Councilmembers, Councilwoman Dubauskas, absolutely and I wanted to also yes, that gives us clarity. And I also wanted to comment on Councilman Graham's comments. We as staff do not want to hamstring the Budget Review Commission either. We don't want them to go off in totally different directions and that was the intent of D. And honestly, the Budget Review Commission members are really wanting direction from Council. Because without direction from Council, they really don't know what Council is interested would like them to look into. So, they really would like direction from Council, really. And we can by them reviewing the proposed budget which we intend for them to do, they are absolutely free to ask for detailed explanations, detailed analysis and follow-up for them to understand the budget.

The purpose of D was so that they don't branch into areas that the City Manager is already doing or the City Auditor is already looking into or that could be completely unrelated to the review of the budget or maybe major policy decisions that really should be left to the Council.

Mayor Borowsky: Councilwoman Littlefield.

Councilwoman Littlefield: Thank you, Mayor. I agree with what's been said. I agree with Councilwoman Whitehead's motion. I just want to ensure that going forward, since we are making amendments and moving forward for this next year that my idea of having the Chair being chosen by the members of the commission would be appropriate. That's how it's done in the other boards and commission areas, and I think that that needs to be included in this one also. Thank you.

Mayor Borowsky: I understood that to be included in the first one. So, I think we're good. Again, this entire conversation is premature. I don't know what the goal is here, other than to deprive the volunteers of the Budget Review Commission, most of which worked really hard last year. We have a there is a joint meeting coming up between the Budget Review Commission and the Council which is designed to give direction and have an open dialogue between us and them. So, trying to manipulate or narrow or expand or, you know no one understands it doesn't sound like to me, what that will look like. I again would urge the Council to push this to until after the discussion or at the point in time when we're meeting with the Budget Review Commission so that we can actually hear feedback we heard from David Smith, just serendipitously because he's here tonight for open public comment. It would be great to hear from our Budget Review Commissioners that were in place last year. And see how they feel about these changes and get direction from us based on that input.

I'm completely opposed to this, with that, I'm I'm gonna make an alternate motion to continue this until our joint meeting. We can take these issues up then. I mean, let's hear how the Budget Review

Commissioners feel about appointing the Chair and the Vice Chair. Maybe they don't want to do that. So does anyone have a second to continue this to the joint meeting? Seeing none, I'll call the question. All those in favor of the very detailed motion, please indicate your vote. Thank you, moving right along.

CITIZEN PETITION

We now turn to there are no citizens petitions. There are no additional public comments with respect to non-agendized items.

MAYOR AND COUNCIL ITEMS – ITEM 14

[Time: 01:22:17]

Therefore, we move on to Mayor and Council items which starts with item number 14, Boards and Commissions, Task Force nominations. Per the city Council rules, I'm going to turn it over I see you are here to go through the process from the the lectern there, but Council Vice Mayor Kwasman is not available for tonight's meeting. So, I hear that he's appointed Councilwoman Dubauskas, asked her to fill the role. So, Councilwoman Dubauskas.

Councilwoman Dubauskas: Thank you, Mayor. This evening the City Council will be nominating Scottsdale residents interested in serving on citizen advisory boards, commissions and committees. The Scottsdale City Council is responsible for establishing city policies and enacting laws in support of those policies. The Council relies on volunteer citizen-based boards and commissions to research issues and make recommendations in support of the Council's commission and goals. The information and recommendations provided by Council appointed advisory boards is a valuable tool in helping Councilmembers in their deliberations. Appointments for these positions will be made at a Special City Council meeting on October 21st.

As we begin our process this evening, I would like to note to my colleagues that an applicant only needs to receive one nomination in order to advance to interview before the Council. Before I call on you, if your chosen applicant or applicants have already been nominated by a Councilmember, please state no additional and we will move on in the process.

AIRPORT ADVISORY COMMISSION

[Time: 01:23:52]

Thank you and now let's get started. The Airport Advisory Commission, there are two openings. The Airport Advisory Commission advises the City Council on policy matters relating to the operation of the airport, proposals for development, airport area land use, fees and safety concerns. Michael Good is concluding his third term, and he is ineligible for reappointment as commission members can serve a maximum of three terms. John Spalj's terms is expiring and eligible reappointment. There are two vacancies and nine applicants. Applicants are: Craig Bennett, David Ganz, Michael Gonzales, Steven

Kressler. John Sloan, John Spalj, Carl Stein and Mike Unterbach. Aisle now entertain nominations for the Airport Advisory Commission. Each Councilperson.

Councilwoman McAllen: Carl Stein and Mike Unterbach.

Councilwoman Whitehead: John Spalj and Michael Gonzalez.

Mayor Borowsky: John Spalj and John Sloan.

Councilwoman Littlefield: No additional.

Management Associate to the City Manager Jordan Borboa: I apologize, Mayor, would you remind repeating that? So I have Mike Unterbach and Carl Stein. And Councilwoman Whitehead, you had John Spalj and Michael Gonzalez. And then Mayor?

Mayor Borowsky: John Spalj and John Sloan.

Jordan Borboa: Thank you.

Councilwoman Littlefield: No additional.

Councilman Graham: I would like to add Mr. Bennett.

Councilwoman Dubauskas: No additional. So, Craig Bennett, Michael Gonzalez, John Spalj and Mike Unterbach have been nominated.

BUILDING ADVISORY BOARD OF APPEALS

[Time: 01:25:50]

The Building Advisory Board of Appeals has the jurisdiction to have the minor variances in the application of the building code be granted and that alternative construction methods or materials be allowed. The board also recommends amendments to the code. Julian Anderson's second term is expiring and he is ineligible for reappointment at this time. There are two vacancies and two applicants. The applicants are: David Brotman and Tim Casselberry. I will now entertain nominations. Each Councilmember can nominate two applicants. Councilwoman Whitehead.

Councilwoman Whitehead: David Brotman. I need to put my glasses on and Tim Casselberry.

Councilwoman Dubauskas: Wonderful. David Brotman and Tim Casselberry have been nominated.

HUMAN RELATIONS COMMISSION

[Time: 01:26:46]

Human Relations Commission, there is one opening. The Human Relations Commission advocates and promotes all dimensions of diversity, the commission acts as an advisory board to the Mayor, City Council and staff to make recommendations on ways to encourage mutual respect and understanding among people. To discourage prejudice and discrimination and to work toward cultural awareness and unity. Tina Drew's first term is expiring. She didn't submit an application for consideration. There's one vacancy and seven applicants. It's Alexandria Blattski, Paul Epstein, Jacqueline Fayad, and Katie McGinty, Chass Onkst, Jill Pearlstein and Andee Ricky. I will entertain nominations for the Human Relations Commission. Each Councilmember can nominate one applicant. Mayor Borowsky.

Mayor Borowsky: Chass Onkst.

Councilwoman Littlefield: Paul Epstein.

Councilman Graham: No additional.

Councilwoman McAllen: Katie McGinty.

Councilwoman Whitehead: No additional.

Councilwoman Dubauskas: And I have no additional. Paul Epstein, Katie McGinty and Chass Onkst have been nominated.

INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

[Time: 01:28:01]

The Industrial Development Authority, there is one opening. The Industrial Development Authority's main function is to issue tax exempt bonds for certain types of private developments for the purpose of attracting new economic activity to the community. Special qualifications, there are no formal requirements, but given nature of the work that the Industrial Development Authority conducts, it is suggested members be comfortable working with detailed financial performas, feasibility studies and financial projections. Ethan Knowlden has resigned. There's one vacancy and four applicants. The applicants are: Michelle Garner, Michael Roland, Dean Sims Elias and Carter Stout. I will now entertain nominations for the Industrial Development Authority, each Councilmember can nominate one applicant. Councilwoman Littlefield.

Councilwoman Littlefield: Michael Roland.

Councilman Graham: No additional.

Councilwoman McAllen: Michelle Gardner.

Councilwoman Whitehead: Dean Sims Elias.

Mayor Borowsky: No additional.

Councilwoman Dubauskas: And I have no additional. So, we have nominated Michelle Gardner, Michael Roland and Dean Sims Elias.

TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

[Time: 01:29:31]

Transportation Commission. There are two openings. The Transportation Commission advises the Council on matters related to the safe and efficient movement of the vehicles, transit, pedestrians and bicycles, the commission provides a public forum to hear citizen complaints and requests regarding the transportation matters. MaryAnn Miller's second term is expiring and is she is ineligible for reappointment at this time. Emmie Cardella's term is expiring. She's eligible for reappointment and has committed an application for consideration. There are two vacancies and ten applicants. The applicants are: Bob Baker, Emmie Cardella, Kelly Christianson, Gerald Conover, Carrie Cox, Zahara Dagdon, Robert Mattingly, Jeremy Shloser, Mohammad Shamander, and Emily Westlensko. I will entertain nominations for the Transportation Commission, each Councilmember can nominate two applicants. Councilman Graham.

Councilman Graham: Thank you, I will do Mr. Baker and Ms. Cox.

Councilwoman McAllen: Emmie Cardella and Kelly Christianson.

Councilwoman Whitehead: No additional.

Mayor Borowsky: I will just add Gerald Conover.

Councilwoman Littlefield: Emmie Cardella, Gerald Conover.

Councilwoman Dubauskas: And I have no additional we have nominated Bob Baker, Ellie Cardella, Kelly Christianson, Gerald Conover and Carrie cox.

VETERANS ADVISORY COMMISSION

[Time: 01:31:08]

Veterans Advisory Commission. There are two openings. The Veteran Advisory Commission consists of seven members at least five of whom shall be military veterans or work for organizations supporting

veterans. The Commission advises the City Council on veteran programs, policies and practices and serves as a community connection point for veterans in the community where they leave. Peter Palmer's second term is expiring and he's ineligible for reappointment at this time. There are two vacancies and six applicants. The applicants are: Scott Burk, Lynn Gallagher, Robert Gelb, Marcie Kostaswa, Christie Slegers and Michael Smothermon. Each Councilmember can nominate two applicants. Councilwoman McAllen.

Councilwoman McAllen: Lynn Gallagher and Marcie Kostaswa.

Councilwoman Whitehead: I'll add Christie Slegers.

Mayor Borowsky: Mike Smothermon. That's it.

Councilwoman Littlefield: Christie Slegers and Mike Smothermon.

Councilman Graham: No additional.

Councilwoman Dubauskas: I have no additional. With have nominated Lynn Gallagher, Marcie Kostaswa, Christie Slegers and Mike Smothermon.

This concludes our nomination process this evening. Individuals nominated will be contacted by city staff with additional information.

I would like to take this opportunity to sincerely thank all who applied to serve on a citizen advisory board or commission, even if you were not nominated, your application will remain on file for one year, for consideration at a future date if there are additional vacancies. Congratulations. Thank you.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you. That concludes item number 14.

MAYOR AND COUNCIL – ITEM 15

[Time: 01:33:12]

Item 15 is to agendize a presentation at the request of Councilman Graham. Direct the City Council or the City Clerk to have a presentation for a future Council meeting by Dr. Chad Wilson related to EVIT programs in Scottsdale. The Council has three options, which are displayed, well they are usually displayed. Direct the City Manager. There they are. So Councilman Graham, do you want to speak to this?

Councilman Graham: Thank you, Mayor. Dr. Chad Wilson, we got to meet him, many of us were in attendance at the Firefighters behind the Flames event, which was a good event, record-breaking event for charity and attendance. I'm off topic, though. Mr. Wilson was, seemed very astute and knowledgeable person and I didn't know about the EVIT program at Coronado High School in our own

city. I wanted to kindly ask my colleagues to hear from him. He could come talk to the Council and share publicly with us the things that they do. I was thinking a five- or ten-minute presentation. No action items. I would humbly ask my colleagues to approve this.

Councilwoman Whitehead: I'll second. May I speak to my second? We have done a lot up here, even though we don't, education is not under our purview, but EVIT is very, very important and indeed he is an impressive leader of the school. So, I enthusiastically support a presentation to the Council.

Councilwoman Littlefield: I will third that!

Mayor Borowsky: Seeing no other comments all those in favor, please indicate your vote. Thank you. That passes unanimously, and with that, that concludes our general, Regular Meeting, excuse me, tonight. I'll move to adjourn.

Councilwoman Dubauskas: Second.

Mayor Borowsky: We're adjourned.

ADJOURNMENT

[Time: 01:35:04]