
This document was created from the closed caption transcript of the March 24, 2026 City Council Regular Meeting has not been checked for completeness or accuracy of content.

A copy of the agenda for this meeting, including a summary of the action taken on each agenda item, can be accessed through the City Clerk Archived Documents Search at:

<https://eservices.scottsdaleaz.gov/cityclerk/DocumentSearch>

An unedited digital video recording of the meeting, which can be used in conjunction with the transcript, is available online at:

<https://ww2.scottsdaleaz.gov/scottsdale-video-network/Council-video-archives/2026-archives>

For ease of reference, included throughout the transcript are bracketed "time stamps" [Time: 00:00:00] that correspond to digital video recording time.

For more information about this transcript, please contact the City Clerk's Office at 480-312-2411.

CALL TO ORDER

[Time: 00:00:09]

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you for your patience. And thank you for being here with us this evening. I would like to call the March 24, 2026 City Council Regular Meeting to order. City Clerk, Ben Lane, can you please do a roll call for us.

ROLL CALL

[Time: 00:00:17]

City Clerk Ben Lane: Thank you, Mayor. Mayor Lisa Borowsky.

Mayor Borowsky: Present.

Ben Lane: Vice Mayor Adam Kwasman.

Vice Mayor Kwasman: Here.

Ben Lane: Councilmembers Jan Dubauskas.

Councilwoman Dubauskas: Here.

Ben Lane: Barry Graham.

Councilman Graham: Here.

Ben Lane: Kathy Littlefield.

Councilwoman Littlefield: Here.

Ben Lane: Maryann McAllen.

Councilwoman McAllen: Present.

Ben Lane: And Solange Whitehead.

Councilwoman Whitehead: Here.

Ben Lane: City Manager Greg Caton.

City Manager Greg Caton: Here.

Ben Lane: Interim City Attorney Luis Santaella.

Interim City Attorney Luis Santaella: Present.

Ben Lane: City Treasurer Sonia Andrews.

City Treasurer Sonia Andrew: Here.

Ben Lane: Acting City Auditor Lai Cluff.

Acting City Auditor Lai Cluff: Here.

Ben Lane: And the Clerk is Present. Thank you, Mayor.

[Time: 00:00:43]

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you to our police officers and firefighter who are here tonight. If anyone requires their assistance, please let a member of our staff know. And as to lead us in the Pledge of Allegiance, I would like to call Councilman Graham.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Councilman Graham: Mayor, thank you, it's my pleasure. I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United

States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands: One nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you. For the invocation this evening, I have the pleasure the great pleasure of welcoming the Scottsdale Bible Church's Fountain Hills Campus Pastor Rayen Mitchell, and Rayen will you please join us. Thank you for being here this evening.

INVOCATION

[Time: 00:01:25]

Pastor Raygen Mitchell: Thank you for having me here. I would like to thank everyone else who is here as well. If you would like to join me in prayer, as you see fit if you bow your heads with me. God, we thank you for this time. We ask that you would be with us as we make these decisions, as we participate in government, in all of its functions. I ask for charity, grace, and unity in this time. So we would be united in one purpose? Would we give charity to one another as would we have great and charity for one another in this time. Thank you. Amen.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you for being here this evening. Appreciate it.

MAYOR'S REPORT

[Time: 00:02:34]

For the Mayor's Report this evening, I would like to talk about an event that many of us attended this afternoon, and that was to celebrate the Western Spirit Scottsdale's Museum of the West, their expansion with the Lewis B. Sands Center. And this marked the culmination of years of planning and partnership and show cased the strong civic, philanthropic, and cultural collaboration that continues to define our community.

The expansion represents a \$12 million enhancement to the museum's campus and adds a new two-story 12,000 square foot facility bringing western spirits total footprint here in Old Town Scottsdale to 55,000 square feet, which is dedicated to exhibitions, education, preservation and community gatherings. And the museum, if you haven't been there already is gorgeous, but now with this 12,000 square foot addition, it's stunning. That was to house the it was supported by the Sands family, which was terrific. Big western family name here. Not necessarily in Scottsdale but in the valley. So, the new center will allow western spirit to host larger rotating exhibitions, broaden the educational programming and create additional space for artists, scholars and the public to come together. And it also strengthens the museum's capacity to preserve treasured works and share both the iconic and emerging stories of the American west.

So, I would encourage you to go take a look at it and it's a beautiful museum and celebrating of course, the west's most western town and our western heritage.

PRESENTATION/INFORMATION UPDATES

[Time: 00:04:28]

Turning to the presentations tonight, the Spirit of Scottsdale 2025 awards presentation. I will invite Vice Chair Kim Beres and Commissioner George Spatola of the Neighborhood Advisory Commission to come talk to us about that. Oh, yeah. That's fine. Thank you.

Vice Chair Kim Beres: Good evening, Mayor Borowsky, Vice Mayor Kwasman and City Council, as members of the Neighborhood Advisory Commission, we are here to present the winners of the 2025 Spirit of Scottsdale awards program.

Commissioner George Spatola: The Neighborhood Advisory Commission advises and makes recommendations to the City Council on policies, plans, strategies and programs for the preservation, improvement and revitalization of Scottsdale's neighborhoods. Once this program is a Spirit of Scottsdale awards an annual program that recognizes recognitions or organizations or businesses that help to strengthen and build Scottsdale's community through their commitment to the involvement in neighborhoods embodying the spirit of Scottsdale. The commission determines winners based on the active years of involvement and the unique contributions of time, skills or financial resources to the community. We would like to now highlight the 2025 winners from each category. Once all winners are announced we ask them to come forward and receive their award.

Kim Beres: Next slide, please. And I think one more forward. Thank you. Okay. The winner of the 2025 individual of Spirit Scottsdale Award is Lauren Trapp. For more than 25 years, Lauren has served the seas the Neighborhood Watch captain for Sherwood Heights and she organized the gain block parties. While many neighborhood gatherings might draw a few dozen people, Sherwood Heights routinely host a hundred residents, making event that's a signature tradition for families and neighbors year after year. When Lauren was contacted about this recognition, she was quick to say that she could not accept the award without acknowledging the rest of her team. She used the metaphor of a symphony to explain their collective success. The audience she said is the neighborhood itself. The musicians are the block watch team because without them, there is no gain, and no organized effort to work with the city and the police to keep the neighborhood safe. Without a conductor, there is no coordination to bring it all together. Take any piece away and there is no music. Together they created something special in Sherwood Heights. The results is a community that works together, looks out for one another and embodies the spirit of Scottsdale.

Please join me in congratulating Lauren Trapp and the Neighborhood Watch team of Sherwood Heights. We will call you up at the very end. We will do one more. Yeah. Next slide, please. Thank you.

George Spatola: The winner of the 2025 organizational spirit of Scottsdale award is the Arabian Horse Association of Arizona. For more than 70 years, the Scottsdale Arabian Horse Show and the Arabian Horse Association of Arizona has been closely connected to the identity and the growth of our city. What began in 1955 as a regional equestrian event has grown into one of the most prestigious Arabian

horse shows in the world while remaining firmly rooted in the community that helped to shape it. This is cherished tradition for residents and visitors alike celebrating Scottsdale's western heritage and re-enforcing the city's reputation for premier destination for culture, sport and tourism.

The impact of the Scottsdale Arabian Horse Show extends beyond the event itself, supported by thousands of volunteer hours, the show generates meaningful economic activity for local businesses, while bringing international visibility to Scottsdale during the key tourism season. At the same time, the organization re-invests into the community through local vendor partnerships, youth engagement and charitable outreach that benefits Scottsdale year-round. For decades of service, stewardship and dedication to Scottsdale's heritage and future, please join me in congratulating the Arizona Horse Association of Arizona and the Scottsdale Arabian Horse Show.

Kim Beres: Thank you to all who submitted nominations and you to Mayor and Council for your time this evening. Lauren and representatives from the Arabian Horse Association of Arizona please come forward now to receive your awards. And members of the Sherwood Heights Neighborhood Watch team who are also present are welcome to join Lauren. Thank you all.

Mayor Borowsky: Excellent. Congratulations to all of you. Thank you so much. That was wonderful! Come back come back any time! We need to arrange for a block party here. All right. Moving right along.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

As is the case at every regular City Council meeting, during tonight's meeting, the Council may make a motion to recess into Executive Session if we need to obtain legal advice on any applicable item on the agenda. If authorized by the Council, the Executive Session would be held immediately and will not be open to the public. The public meeting would resume immediately following the Executive Session.

MINUTES

[Time: 00:15:12]

Moving on to Meeting Minutes approvals. We are here to potentially approve the Special Meeting Minutes of January 27, 2026, Executive Session Meeting Minutes of January 27, 2026, Regular Meeting Minutes of January 27, 2026, and Regular Meeting and Work Study Session Minutes of February 10, 2026. May I please have a motion if there's no question or changes to those documents.

Councilwoman Whitehead: So moved.

Councilwoman Littlefield: Second.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you. Please indicate your vote. Aye. We're faster than the queue here. So, this is good.

CONSENT AGENDA

[Time: 00:15:54]

Next, we have Consent Agenda items 1 through 13A. Please note that item number 4 which was the wireless telecommunications license agreement was removed from the agenda at the request of staff and will be heard at a future Council meeting date. Do any members of the Council have any questions or any of the Consent Agenda items or requests to move any of the Consent Items to the Regular Agenda? I see that Councilwoman Dubauskas has indicated a comment or question or go ahead.

Councilwoman Dubauskas: Thank you, Madam Mayor. I wanted to make a comment about Agenda number 3, which is Fire Station 601, ambulance annex. I wanted to give a kudos to our City Manager Greg Caton and our Fire Chief Tom Shannon. It was planned to be down the street from Fire Station 601. Which is an extremely fire station. When the two got together, they talked it through and decided it would be best if it was located physically at the fire station, much more efficient for the ambulance and the firefighters who now work together. So, this is a creative and thoughtful outcome. They will be able to build what they need rather than retrofitting something to make it work. The cost is very reasonable. Kudos to the city manager for catching this and working with our fire chief to come and get the best outcome for our residents. So, I think this is a big win for the entire city. Thank you.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you. Councilman Graham.

Councilman Graham: Mayor thank you. I just had a comment on that to. I remember voting on this and the 601 Annex and how those were not connected and so this is a good resolution, in my opinion, and I agree with Councilwoman Dubauskas about the hat tip to City Manager Caton and Fire Chief Shannon for thoughtfully resolving this. I think we will use a little bit of that Eldorado Park, a smidge of it, but I think the section lost is kind of kind of just sort of a part of a patch area and it's not part of like a field or a pond or we're not going to lose any parking. We will add parking. So, I wanted to repeat or agree with Councilwoman Dubauskas on this. Thank you.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you. And moving on, I have a comment, just on the Consent Item number 7, the WestWorld Strategic Plan. This item, for decision follows the presentation that we had a couple of weeks ago for the strategic plan that we developed, that our General Manager Will Lofdahl. I know there was a lot of what would happen and you have stepped up to conquer a lot of these concerns. In fact, Will and I were out there today, looking at the beautiful stalls that have been renovated and talking about the amazing drainage improvement project that we're going to have, and we also met a beautiful Palomino mare named what was her name?

WestWorld General Manager Will Lofdahl: Daisy.

Mayor Borowsky: Daisy, how could I forget? So, thank you for indulging all of that and thank you for your efforts and it looks like it will keep on the Consent Agenda. So, I'm thrilled about that. I see no other comments or questions. I will now entertain a motion to approve Consent Agenda items 1 through

13A.

Councilwoman McAllen: So moved.

City Clerk Ben Lane: Mayor, I apologize, there's public speakers.

Mayor Borowsky: I was so excited about that one. Number one, Consent Agenda item number 1, we have Steve Sutton and Patricia Patty Badenoch to talk on this item. Steve please join us at the podium.

Patricia Badenoch: Since I'm closest, I will just say that I don't feel that my comment is relevant at this time.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you, Patty.

Patricia Badenoch: Thank you.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you.

[Time: 00:20:26]

Steve Sutton: Good evening, Councilmembers. Steve Sutton, address on record. I'm learning quite a bit more about the company Chassis, which I see is one of the contract awardees here. And it's my understanding this is essentially a preferred contractor on the part of the city. I am glad that we have somebody that the city feels comfortable in using on multiple projects. And I found their presentation on one project a week ago very interesting. And I think we're going to be exploring that considerably more later tonight. Thank you for your time.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you, Steve. All right. I will let's go ahead and vote on the motion to approve Consent Agenda items 1 through 13A.

REGULAR AGENDA - ITEM 14

Moving on to the Regular Agenda. Item number 14 is the Comprehensive Financial Policies Annual Review and Adoption. And that will be presented by City Treasurer Sonia Andrews. Take it away.

City Treasurer Sonia Andrews: Thank you, Mayor, Council. Actually, I'm going to have our Budget Director Scott Selin present that.

Mayor Borowsky: Sounds good. Hi, Scott.

Budget Director Scott Selin: Good evening, Madam Mayor, and members of the Council. I'm very happy to be here tonight to discuss the proposed modifications to the city's comprehensive financial policies. We do typically propose changes to our policies once a year in preparation of the new fiscal year around

this time of year.

We include this slide, just as a reminder of why we maintain comprehensive financial policies. They help us ensure fiscal responsibility with sound financial management. They help us comply with management rules and regulations, establish the budget controls that we all operate under and then finally, last but not least, financial policies are required in order to maintain our AAA Bond ratings that the city maintains.

The nature of the changes that we're going to propose tonight fall into one of two categories. The first category is housekeeping changes that are intended to clarify the language of the current policy. And the second are minor changes meant to improve and/or strengthen the policy itself.

[Time: 00:23:06]

We're going to go over the major changes to the policies. The first proposed change to highlight is in the self-insurance risk management section. The current policy sets the minimum reserve balance to the 80% confidence level, projected total outstanding claims. The policy has not always been 80%. In recent history, it's been 75%. The policy change that we are proposing is to provide some flexibility to the Loss Trust Fund Board, by allowing a potential range of 75% to 80%. Should the board wish to make a change in their target at some point in the future.

The next change relates to Preserve but this time on the health care side and we propose this section to clarify currently, policy states that an actuarial firm establishes reserve levels in the healthcare fund. We propose amending this section to clarify that the actuarial firms will project liabilities as part of the process of establishing reserve levels. The overall reserve levels are established by the city. But the actuaries do play a role in determining certain types of the reserves in the overall reserves.

This proposed change is more a clarifying change. The policy governs approval for increases in capital projects. The proposed language is intended to codify our existing practice, which is that all increases to capital project budgets must be approved by Council. The proposed change also specifies that the budget for a capital project is what is listed in the CIP budget book as part of the adopted budget. So that's what constitutes a projects budget for the purposes of this policy.

Moving on, the intent of this proposed change, excise taxes, revenue secured obligations is to clarify that this policy includes water, infrastructure, finance authority debt. The way the current policy is written, it's not specifically excluded but it's also not specifically included either. This policy change would address that by including with a debt to the definition of nonvoter authorized debt.

The next proposed change has to do with the self-insurance reserves. It clarifies that the Loss Trust Board must make recommendations regarding necessary reserve levels. And then the last proposed change that we're bringing to you today is in appendix A, we sometimes refer to that as budget governing guidance and it has to do with the treatment of general fund interest earnings. Current policy is 100% of general fund interest earnings to the capital improvement plan. Given the significant interest

earnings that the city has realized, we don't necessarily need all of that revenue in the capital improvement plan all the time. The proposed modification to this policy would be to introduce a range of what would be transferred to the CIP. To provide flexibility to address the needs of the city.

And it's not listed on the slide, but I do apologize it for that but if it pleases the Council, we would request approval of Resolution 13608 in order to adopt the proposed edits to the financial policy. And I would be happy to entertain any questions you may have.

Mayor Borowsky: Councilman Graham.

[Time: 00:26:48]

Councilman Graham: Mayor, thank you. Scott, thank you for your presentation. So, these seem like reasonable changes to me for the most part, 75% to 80%, minimum risk management reserve fund balance, I'm good with that. Can we go to the cost increase? So, the policy right now imagine the project is \$10 million approved in the CIP budget right. And the way I read this is that if that project budget goes to \$11 million for either a scope increase or for a cost overrun, either one has to come to City Council?

Scott Selin: Madam Mayor, Councilman Graham, that's correct, any increase to a capital improvement plan project would have to come to Council and be approved by Council.

Councilman Graham: Now, are there any capital are there any capital expenditures that would not be included in this?

Scott Selin: This policy would govern CIP projects. There are capital expenditures that occur within the operating budget. This would not apply to those expenditures but any CIP project in the CIP book, this policy would apply to.

Councilman Graham: Does it, does that include does that cover like bond projects?

Scott Selin: Madam Mayor, Councilman Graham, the Bond 2019 projects, they are all in the capital improvement plan, yes, it would cover them.

Councilman Graham: The capital expenditures that don't fall in the CIP.

Scott Selin: This policy yeah, Madam Mayor, Councilman Graham, that's correct it would not apply to those expenditures that apply within the operating budget.

Councilman Graham: And what about any other capital expenditures as far as water fund or the airport?

Scott Selin: This would cover yeah, any capital improvement plan project in the CIP book, this would

Councilman Graham: Regardless of whether it's enterprise or...

Scott Selin: Madam Mayor, Councilman Graham, that's correct.

Councilman Graham: Okay. Can you think of the most recent example we've had a few cost overruns over recent years. Can you think of I can't think off the top of my head when you came to Council or when somebody came to Council for approval of a cost overrun.

Scott Selin: Madam Mayor, Councilman Graham, we bring a housekeeping adjustment and I believe that there last year there were such examples of that. One is not coming to my mind at this time.

Councilman Graham: Where are they being hidden? No, I'm just kidding. So, this this would be for both a scope change and a cost overrun with no change to the scope?

Scott Selin: Madam Mayor, Councilman Graham, this policy is agnostic as to why the cost is increasing. If there's a cost increase it needs to be approved by Council.

[Time: 00:30:04]

Councilman Graham: And it has to be both 10% and \$1 million right?

Scott Selin: Mayor, Councilmember Graham. I appreciate that question. Any increase of a project must be approved by Council, but there's a special caveat in this policy that any scope change greater than \$1 million and 10% if it meets both of those criteria, states that it requires Council presentation or a written update to Council. So that's kind of a higher level of approval.

Councilman Graham: Here's the problem though. If it's \$100 million project, and it's \$9 million overrun, that wouldn't qualify, would it?

Scott Selin: Madam Mayor, Councilman Graham, that's correct. It would not qualify for the special written I'm sorry, the Council presentation or written update but the increase itself would still need to be approved by Council. It just wouldn't require a written update.

Councilman Graham: I'm not going to force it today, but I think we should maybe look at it, because I think there should be kind of like when you add that word "and" in there, it does a lot of work, doesn't it? When you have to fulfill both conditions. So my next question is Councilwoman Dubauskas, maybe Madam Mayor, do you want to say something?

Councilwoman Dubauskas: I do.

Mayor Borowsky: Councilwoman Dubauskas.

[Time: 00:31:36]

Councilwoman Dubauskas: Thank you, Madam Mayor. I was going asking to ask the city manager to talk about the Tiger Team. Councilman Graham mentioned that we haven't had cost overruns in quite some time, and I believe our city manager has something to do with that. So, could you put his mind at ease about any cost overruns or your process and how it relates to this for us?

City Manager Greg Caton: Yes, Madam Mayor and Councilwoman, thank you for your question. The Tiger Team that's referenced there, this came out of discussions about a year ago. And this is a staff development team that I sit on with a number of my colleagues and what we do is review capital projects on the front end. First of all, what we do is once they are authorized, let's just say for sake of discussion, as we enter into '26/27 approved then we would start the budget process of really what is ready because you have to prioritize all across the organization when you have a significant capital improvement plan, everybody believes you get to go July 2nd and it doesn't quite work that way. So, we have to stagger our resources and so that's the first task that the team we did, nine months ago. And actually started in May. So, we're almost coming on our year anniversary of that.

And then the second thing, what we started all across the organization is before you got to enter a project into our system, it actually went before this Tiger Team, as a preauthorization, if you will. And what we found out through that is projects just weren't ready. And we prioritized them, and I have one poster child project or a great example came before this City Council and we actually had a board of commission member speak to it and advocate for the project. We did not include it in the '25/26. It just wasn't ready. Come to find out, we've got we spent time evaluating that and found it to be completed or to be less expensive with an alternative route and will be proposed in the upcoming budget in '26/27. So, I guess my point in that story is to slow down to speed up. That's what we did starting in the last May. And further to the cost overruns, what we have found is that projects when they are again, they are not ready, they hadn't conducted a design or a feasibility, quite frankly we didn't know the scope of the project.

I also think compounding the situation is our way that we budget in multiple years and there literally was this philosophy that you start off with a number and you add budget over the years. As you wrap up that budget in say year two or three, what we are identifying with this new approach is first of all, you do a feasibility, you do a scope, you do a design, you do that initial work on the front end. So, we have a solid number. Then we enter into a contract and that may take two or three years, of course with the sizable projects but that number doesn't change over a period of time. If it does change, run into some uncertainties, you know, there are unusuals, but with this new process, it really tightens up the process, and ensures certainty to City Council and through our budgetary process. Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you. Back to Councilman Graham.

Councilman Graham: Thank you, Mayor, thank you to my colleague, Councilwoman Dubauskas for that for that comment. And city manager, I appreciate that message. I try to think about financial policies,

and you think about what are the incentives they might create. And how might they pressure people. You can correct a problem and also overcorrect a problem. So that was just kind of the sort of where I was coming from with some of those questions. You know, we thank you for the Tiger Team, you know. The tiger strikes or pounces doesn't it, saving us lots of tax dollars. My first two years on Adam okay. Someone liked my joke. Everyone else cringed. But the first two years on Council, it felt like every other meeting we ended up having over \$150 million in cost overruns and, you know so we exposed those and we talked about them and I think we had some City Manager Caton really went in and brought some scrutiny to that.

I don't want to create the wrong incentives as far as I don't want so you think about 10% and \$1 million. So, one way someone may not not our staff but the next city down the road, if they had that policy, they are going to say, we are going to combine these two projects, there's two \$50 million projects and we'll combine them in some way. So, you may have an incentive to do that. We don't want people to cheap out on projects, you know, save a buck now so that we spend big down the road. And that's actually happened before in our city, in years past. We don't want to pressure our staff to slow something down because we want to get a request out you know, they say, oh, there's going to be a cost overrun or cost scope increase and so we're going to slow that down to just wait until the next budget cycle, when we think it's going to be more favorable or different scenario. We don't want to incentivize that. We want to when we find out about them, we want to own it. We want to learn from it. And I think it is important, though, that you come to City Council and we can discuss it and we can figure it out, learn from it and improve it. And so, I appreciate you bringing these policy changes to us.

The last question I want to ask is about funding CIP. Right now, we have our cash in the general fund, and it sits there and it's making a lot I don't know what it's making, 400 Bips, something like that, 500 Bips. Whatever it may be. And our policy for many, many years has been to take those earnings and to apply them towards our capital budget because I guess the theory was that's less borrowing we have to do. And so, we're taking interest earnings and we are saving on interest expense. What was the thinking to give to change it to 50% and is that I guess what was the thinking behind that? Because I'm wondering maybe, like, what are our what do our counterparts in the valley do with their interest earnings? They just throw it into the general fund, like, commingle it fungibly, or...

[Time: 00:38:27]

Scott Selin: Madam Mayor, Councilman Graham regarding your first question, the thinking was to provide some flexibility to the city to allocate less than 100% if the CIP does not need those funds.

Councilman Graham: If I can interrupt you there. Sorry, Scott. That's what it does, but why did you do it? It's like, well, maybe we felt like our interest earnings we didn't maybe our interest earnings were so strong and we had good interest rates on our our debt obligations, our debt service or whatever. Did something happen that caused the you know, the thinking to change?

Scott Selin: I Madam Mayor, Councilman Graham, I think it was just the large amounts of interest that the city has been realizing over the past, you know, couple of years that caused us to look at it and

determine well, maybe maybe we don't need to send 100% all the time, if if the CIP is properly funded. Regarding your second question, I can't yet speak intelligently about what other cities do. Perhaps the city treasurer might be able to weigh in that.

Councilman Graham: I will give her a chance to say something and that will be the end of my the end of me. So.

[Time: 00:39:49]

Sonia Andrews: Thank you, Mayor, thank you Council. I wanted to add to what our budget director Scott Selin has said what we did last year was we moved some of the CIP projects back into the operating. So, we were funding a lot of police and fire apparatus, equipment in the CIP. So, we were transferring 100% of our interests and also, I think, 50% of our construction sales tax to the CIP to fund a lot of that as well.

Councilman Graham: We were doing that because we had so much interest revenue that we wanted to apply it to certain projects?

Sonia Andrews: Correct. So now that we have moved all of those back into the operating, we are keeping some of that same funding source in the operating instead of transferring it to the CIP.

Councilman Graham: So that was basically to avoid the onerous task of reapplying things?

Sonia Andrews: Yeah, basically the interest is still funding the same CIP but it's now on the operating side as opposed to the capital side.

Councilman Graham: Yeah.

Sonia Andrews: To answer the other question on the other cities, many other cities transfer construction sales tax to the CIP because construction sales tax is considered more volatile and fluctuates a lot more. So, there is the idea is not to rely on construction sales tax for operations. Interest, however, is not typically transferred to the CIP because interest earnings are more stable than construction sales tax. You are always going to have a base with which you earn interest from. For us, because we have significant CIP projects and limited debt capacity, so we do rely on our interest earnings to pay go our capital. So that we do not extend our debt, because we do have limited capacity on the debt side.

Councilman Graham: Yeah, I see it as kind of a see it as kind of a nice conservative measure to keep our debt coverage ratio, you know, stronger. While I have you, city treasurer, did you consider adding that \$1 million plus 10% and to non-CIP cap ex?

Sonia Andrews: Mayor, Councilmembers when we came up with the 10% and \$1 million for the capital projects which would require specific written presentation or explanation to Council, that was done with consideration of what we would end up bringing to Council by providing that criteria. We looked at the last five years or so, to look at all the project increases that would end up having to go to Council, with

different criteria. If we change that, we could have smaller changes that the city manager to approve. That was designed to have smaller cost increases to Council. We can look at that again to revisit that and make sure that the relevant cost increases are coming to Council.

Councilman Graham: Thank you. I'm concluding my remarks. It might create a scenario where someone might feel pressured to move it out of CIP because of, you know to forestall the idea of going to City Council. So, not that anybody here would do that so what do incentives do these policies create. So, thank you, city manager, Mr. Budget Manager, I said city manager, city treasurer pardon me. Thank you, Mayor.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you. Councilwoman McAllen.

Councilwoman McAllen: Thank you, Mayor. Thank you for the presentation, Scott. And with that, I move that we adopt Resolution number 13608.

Councilwoman Whitehead: I will second that and I approve of the these changes and the guidance that the city treasurer's office gives us. Thank you.

Mayor Borowsky: There is a motion and a second please indicate your vote, actually. All right. That motion passes and thank you for the presentation.

PUBLIC COMMENT

[Time: 00:44:11]

Next, we have the public comment announcement. This is preserved for Scottsdale citizens, business owners and/or property owners to comment on the non-agendized items. You are limited to three minutes to address the Council. If you haven't already and you wish to speak on a non-agendized topic, please see our City Clerk. Otherwise, we have Steve Sutton, Melissa Kemp, Daniel Isaac, Yvonne Cahill and Bob Pejman. Steve Sutton.

Steve Sutton: Again, hello and good evening, Councilmembers, Steve Sutton, address on record. I have been talking to thousands of people over the last few months. And really gotten to know their feelings about many things here in Scottsdale. I had an interesting conversation the other day. Someone mentioned to me that it seems odd that a few hundred people could complain about something up in north Scottsdale and get immediate action, which cost us millions of dollars more, but when many thousands of people complain over a period of almost a year now about something, they're pretty much ignored. It just doesn't seem right. Something is wrong there.

Later tonight, I'm going to be presenting something to you, which thousands of people have already signed, and this is going to be an issue that will be talked about for quite some time I'm sure. I wonder why we have certain groups, small groups of people who get so much attention from the Council and action taken on what they want, but yet not thousands of people who have other ideas about what the

Council should do for them. I think that's going to be explored in great detail in the next few months. Thank you for your time.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you, Steve. Next Melissa Kemp.

[Time: 00:46:42]

Melissa Kemp: Good evening, Mayor and Council, Melissa Kemp, McCormick Ranch, my address is on record. Part six of my series on voter voice, civic engagement and what it costs when public input is treated like noise instead of data.

This week, I tally voices and the dollars that follow, when those voices are ignored. We all want a Scottsdale that is safe, that is cost effective and stable, one critical role of open public comment is accountability, where residents see things slipping and can speak up slipping and can speak up. Accountability is not hostility. Accountability is a guardrail and guardrails save lives and they save dollars. Here's the clear pattern that Mr. Sutton just pointed out and that I believe voters should notice.

As public input grows from tens to hundreds to thousands, to tens of thousands, this four-member conservative majority keeps voting in the opposite direction as if they heard or saw something. Tens. We can look at the sustainability repeal that was over a year ago. The signal was clear, more than 27 voices supported it. Let's go to hundreds, the open public comment rule changes last December. December 2nd. The packet shows the ratio; the board pact was 100 to one against doing this. Is that listening? Thousands. The Old Town parking garage, where you have got 3,000 plus signatures on citizen petitions asking you to slow down and reconsider the location. Again, thousands raised concern, and you pushed forward anyway.

Okay. Tens of thousands. Let's talk about Axon because it's time. More than 20,000 signatures requesting even pleading for a vote to be scheduled, the soonest possible. Names were attached on the record but instead of respecting the simplest taxpayer winning position, scheduling the vote, you refused a clean and clear accountability path. You cited around \$250,000 for special election costs as your reason not to do it and called that fiscal stewardship. Let's talk about wise fiscal stewardship. The dollar question that Scottsdale residents deserve you to answer is what's the bill now? Legal fees, staff time, outside counsel and delays. Ignoring early-warning comments during open public comment here, doesn't help. It doesn't make conflicts disappear. They escalate and they get bigger and more expensive. To the voter whose issue is local control and density, who wants a real say in what gets built next door, listen carefully because this majority block may say the right words about caring about over development, but their actions and job performance have given you exactly the diametric result. So, your representing your factions. I'm just going to say this here. How many voices does it take before you start to listen?

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you, Melissa. Next, I have Dan Ishac.

[Time: 00:50:41]

Dan Ishac: Dan Ishac, address on record. Three quick topics. First, I won't be here at the next meeting. I'm sure you're upset but I would like to urge those of you who actually have any moral fortitude and honestly to vote against the contract for the parking garage for a variety of reasons that you have heard over and over. One, there's no proven demand and it will permanently displace the farmers market and there will be a monstrosity in the most historic park of Old Town. Looking at the most recent rendering, I think the technical architectural term is butt ugly because that's what it is. Now we find out that it will cost \$97,000 per parking space. That's three times the national average. There's a variety of reasons why it will cost so much but regardless, this Council claims to be responsible with our money. Anybody who spends \$97,000 per parking space is not being a good steward of our money. So please, vote no on the contract.

Second topic, Barry Graham, the Littlefield's and their donors continue to lie. First about the parking garage. One, they say that the voters approved it. Fact, the ballot language didn't mention the word parking. Fact, the 50-plus page voter guide did not mention the location other than Old Town. Yet they continue to say that voters overwhelmingly approved this location. It is a lie. Barry, you're concerned about budgets. Here's another lie. Although the Scottsdale Dynamite improvement is going to be within budget, you forget to mention that it would have been \$4 million under budget had you not pandered to the anti-roundabout people. Also, yes, we did get another \$30 million grant, but guess what, that's for this fiscal year. It would have funded other infrastructure projects. Those projects are now either delayed, canceled or will be paid by general fund. So, we cost our residents millions of dollars.

Third, Vice Mayor Kwasman. I love the theater, cue the stereotypic response, but this dais is not a stage and what I don't understand Vice Mayor Kwasman, you demonstrated earlier today at the boards and commissions, that you can be charismatic, funny and even charming but there are other times where you are performative and spout nonsense. The comment that you felt threatened by a reference to Carthage is absurd. There's no threat there, but what is a threat? When you sent a vulgar-laden text that you sent to the Mayor threatening to remove from office. That's a threat.

Secondly, you are throwing around the word anti-Semitism. You and I are completely aligned with the disgust we feel for discrimination. I cannot stand what I see on social media, and I don't know whether it's more prevalent or just more visible, but to throw around the term loosely, minimizes the actual claims of anti-Semitism, sometimes Adam you have to accept the fact that people don't like you say and do and it has nothing to do with your faith. Thank you.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you, Dan. Yvonne Cahill.

[Time: 00:54:11]

Yvonne Cahill: Thank you, Mayor and Council. My name is Yvonne Cahill. My address is on record. Today, actually on the way down here, I saw a Facebook post by Councilman Graham, and it was a repost of an article written by Mr. Kube and the title of the article was, "Attacks on Old Town Parking Garage Ring

Hollow." I just cannot believe as Mr. Ishac just testified that this is ringing hollow. Mr. Kube, you just insulted over 6,000 residents. Have you ever been down to the farmers market? Have you ever been to the Mission? What have you done for the city? I know that you are recently appointed tonight to a commission, but I have to wonder if this Article was an exchange for votes. Also, many things in that article were not true. The 2019 Bond project, there was no specified location in that bond project. It just simply addressed Old Town. The other problem is the cost. We're looking at three times the national average per space on this garage. And have you ever seen the game kids play that says one of these things is not like the other? If you had been at that meeting for the Old Town parking garage and saw the schematic they put up, and then put the Old Town buildings, the Mission that it surround, you could like a 2-year-old could pick that out, one of these things is not like the other. It doesn't belong. The Council really needs to reconsider this and I'm asking you to vote no next week on this project.

I also, with my one minute left, I will ask the Council. I hope somebody on the Council every week will ask to get on the agenda to move the citizen comments again, the resident comments to the first part of the meeting and let's see would votes against it. Let's have that vote every week that you have a meeting. Thank you very much for your time.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you, Yvonne. Lastly, we have Bob Pejman.

[Time: 00:56:53]

Bob Pejman: Thank you, Mayor and Council, name is Bob Pejman. Address is on record. So if I went to the farmers market, if I went to some public setting and somebody came to me with a petition, alleging that there's going to be a monstrosity built in Old Town, it's going to blight historic Old Town, it will harm the farmers market and maybe make them leave, it's going to blight the Mission, it will put the merchants out of business, if I truly believe that, I would grab the pen fast enough to sign it. The problem is that these statements are not fact anymore. Maybe a year ago, when we didn't know the outcome of what is going to happen, this could happen. But the city has done a great job in the meantime to mitigate all of these issues.

For example, farmers market, they did an excellent job to transition them to a better place where they can grow and expand in a park-like setting where kids can play as opposed to just a concrete slab. That's an improvement. The design of the garage, people are saying it's disgusting. I was there. There were three open houses, and it confirms to the historic to the architectural standards of historic Old Town. It does, so therefore, it will not blight the area and not blight the Mission and the construction mitigation plan that they talked about rarely closes the street at all. And not fully. So, these issues have been addressed and thanks to staff who has addressed a lot of these. Now that these issues are addressed, the goal post is being moved.

So now this group is complaining about the high cost. What drove the cost up? For one thing, this used to be a 218-space garage. Thanks to the residents who complained and the city and the and the contractor listening, they stepped back the top level. That got rid of about 30 spaces. The cost per space went up. They also listened, three open houses to make the outside exterior of this building as as

harmonious as possible. That increased the costs. Now, I have to remind people that two years ago, there was a win/win compromise made that for the people who wanted the parking lot in the northeast, they got they got that approved by the Council unanimously, about \$15 million and, of course this parking lot go approved with voter approved Bond funds. It was a win, win, right? But if you look at the cost of these two parking lots, they are not too different. That alleged high cost is barely higher than the cost of the northeast lot, where is the outrage on that? We all know why there's no outrage on that. Because this has now been twisted into a political campaign, to influence the election. That's what this has been twisted into. Otherwise, those people would be complaining about the cost of the northeast quadrant, which by the way, doesn't have the bells and whistles and by the way, the voters did not approve and by the way, it's being paid from the general fund. So, let's not take a win/win compromise that was made and turn into a win/lose proposition. Thank you.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you, Bob. All right. That concludes the non-agendized public comment.

CITIZEN PETITION

[Time: 01:00:26]

And next we have Citizen Petition receipt, which we do have a petition here from Steve Sutton as he mentioned, it relates to the building of the parking garage in Old Town at the parking corral and it requests that the city conduct a parking study to determine the need. You have three minutes Steve.

Steve Sutton: Sorry Mayor Borowsky, that is incorrect. There's nothing in that petition that requests that you do a study. I don't know why that was put on the agenda.

Mayor Borowsky: Are you sure you don't want to talk about that?

Steve Sutton: No, no you already had that petition.

Mayor Borowsky: That's what I thought. I thought it sounded remarkably familiar.

Steve Sutton: Already know who I am. Quickly extemporaneously, nobody has complained about that garage, Bob because they haven't got this far along in the process, I'm sure people will once they learn how much it will cost.

Last year you received three petitions signed by thousands of people that twice requested a town hall and wants a parking study be conducted before any decision is made to build a parking extension at the parking corral. You ignored the request for town halls and claimed that there was not enough time to conduct a parking study. That of course is a claim that nobody believed when you made it. Those petitions were submitted because people do not want a garage built in front of Scottsdale's most historic building, the Old Adobe Mission. They don't want you to end the 17-year tradition of the farmers being located at the parking corral and they not want you to defile Scottsdale's most culturally historic area, historic Old Town.

Tonight, you have a petition before you that is not making a request. It is instead 4,594 people who signed the petition telling you, telling you do not build a garage extension to the parking corral. The vast majority of those people are voters in Scottsdale. These voters over the last ten months have become increasingly aware of the lie being told that people voted in the 2019 special Bond election to build a garage and to build it at the parking corral. These voters know that the actual ballot language voted upon and approved does not require the building of a garage let alone one at the parking corral. These voters are now increasingly becoming aware that this City Council, without conducting a parking study to justify need wants to spend \$18 million to build a garage at the parking corral that will only provide 185 parking spaces. These voters are capable of determining the cost of \$97,297.30 per parking space is over twice the national average per parking space.

These voters are becoming increasingly aware that the Council's motivation for building a garage is not due to need but almost certainly due to political deal making between rivals on this and the previous Council and rewarding of political supporters of individual Councilmembers.

Tonight, my presentation of this petition, right here, with 4,000 some odd signatures on it, fulfills my obligation to the thousands of people who relied upon me to present their objections to your plan. I can now honorably walk away from this fight. However, this is just the beginning of the fight and for me and the residents of Scottsdale. Carthago delenda est.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you, Steve. And we have copies of that?

[Time: 01:04:13]

Ben Lane: Mayor, it was sent electronically to the Council last week. As Mr. Sutton mentioned, it's over 4,000 signatures so we sent it to the Council electronically. We did not print it out due to the number of signatures.

Mayor Borowsky: Right, just making sure. Thank you so much. All right, that concludes the receipt of Citizens Petitions and we don't have any action item associated with this. So, I will skip that part.

Ben Lane: Mayor, no, the Council has to take one of three actions, either agendize the item, ask the responsible charter officer to draft a written report to the Council and to the petitioner or take no action.

Mayor Borowsky: Unless I'm missing something there was to request for action in that petition that Steve presented.

Ben Lane: Mayor, just to clarify, there's a cover letter from Mr. Sutton that says, this provides you the opportunity I'm going to skip part of it, but to conduct a parking study to determine the need for spending \$18 million to build a parking garage.

Mayor Borowsky: That's what I just read but he said that was not part of the petition.

Ben Lane: That's what we took as his request because it was in his cover letter.

Mayor Borowsky: All right, well, I for one am in complete support for a parking study but does anyone want to make a motion on this.

Councilwoman Whitehead: Sure, I will continue to support a parking study as I have for a very long time. So we can agendize the city, I will let's see, motion to move to direct the city manager to number two, direct the city manager or other responsible charter officer to investigate the matter and prepare a written response actually you know what, I will do number one, because since we have this coming forward why don't we add a parking study to that agenda item. So, I will direct the city manager or other responsible charter officer to agendize the petition for further discussion at the upcoming Council meeting to include a parking study. Thank you.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you. I will second that and I will speak to my second. So, I met with Janey White, last week or the week before, and I met with her we hadn't met before her dad her grandfather was the first Mayor the Scottsdale, right? And she's a property owner in Old Town and we had a long conversation about this. And her experience is so different she thinks everyone, all the business owners or many of them are for the parking garage and think we need parking in Old Town. Her experience was so different, polar opposite than my experience has been in terms of input from downtown business owners, property owners, and residents. So, I mean this issue is just I would love to see it clarified and maybe parking study isn't the right the beam of light that will decide this this issue, but I think it's warranted to get an updated parking study as I have said before. So, I'm going to second it. I know that we haven't had much success getting traction on this in the past, but I would love to see this settled objectively. So, with that...Sorry, Vice Mayor Kwasman.

[Time: 01:07:43]

Vice Mayor Kwasman: Thank you, Madam Mayor. Ben, I'm sorry, is the cover letter part of a petition? Because the petitioner claimed just right there, said that the parking study is not part of this petition. I'm so confused. Did we create something out of whole cloth? He said it himself, the parking study is not part of it and even he said yeah, I don't understand. Is the cover letter part of a petition or not? What is being voted on? I don't understand.

Mayor Borowsky: Let's let maybe the petition...

Councilman Graham: Well, city attorney.

Mayor Borowsky: Well, he hasn't...

Vice Mayor Kwasman: He spoke. He said a parking study is not part of this petition. He said it right there.

Mayor Borowsky: Excuse me, Vice Mayor Kwasman. And then he said from the audience that the

purpose of it was we could decide either way. Well, that's inherent in this action item, but go ahead, city attorney.

[Time: 01:08:42]

Interim City Attorney Luis Santaella: Mayor and members of the Council, what is agendized tonight is what's on the board here. You have three options either agendize it for further discussion at a later meeting or direct the city manager responsible charter officer to investigate and do a report, or number three, take no action. So regardless of what his intent is, it was agendized in a particular way for the Council to make a decision and the Council can interpret his intent as it sees fit.

Mayor Borowsky: And just to just to recap, Vice Mayor Kwasman, because you make a great point, I had that same question to begin with, because as I indicated, it is agendized and to the city manager's point, that's what we need to move forward on. The citizen petition relates to the building of a parking garage at the parking corral location and requests the city to conduct a parking study in order to determine the need for a parking garage at this location, or at a less culturally and historically significant area of Scottsdale. So that's the agenda item. There's a motion and a second and the answer apparently.

Vice Mayor Kwasman: Thank you, Madam Mayor. Thank you, Luis, that if it's up to us to interpret it, that's understandable. I would like to make an alternate motion to number two, to direct the city manager or responsible charter officer investigate the matter and prepare a written response to response to Council with a copy to the petitioner.

Councilman Graham: I will second that.

Councilwoman Dubauskas: Second.

Councilman Graham: Okay.

Mayor Borowsky: All right. We have an alternate motion on the table. Let's go ahead and vote on that.

Councilman Graham: Oh, my...

Mayor Borowsky: You had the floor. I thought that was your indicator. Do you want to speak?

Councilman Graham: Yes.

Mayor Borowsky: Go right ahead.

Councilman Graham: Thank you, Mayor. I didn't get the second in there because I was going to speak to my second, but I was outdrawn. This is almost a point of order, because the petition, the cover sheet, in my opinion, he could add any cover sheet at the very last second and say anything that he ever wanted to say. And so, I think that what you need to evaluate the petition on is what is the language that

everybody who signed the petition was presented with. And otherwise, he could literally add any cover letter and change the meaning or the text of the petition before it comes to Council. So, when I read the petition, I read we petition the City Council to cancel this project. And that is what we would be agendizing. Do we vote to agendize to cancel the project. This is nothing like what the Vice Mayor said, anything to do with a study in the abstract or as we read it.

I just want to go through a few points about this petition. Do not move the farmers market from the current location to the inconvenient City Hall parking lot. The City Hall parking lot, we heard from the farmers market is a convenient spot and I'm they're expanding to it. And I think it's going to be a better spot for them with as Councilwoman Whitehead talks about, is going to have lot more space and shade and places to eat, restrooms. There are restrooms at the Corral now.

[Time: 01:12:19]

Mayor Borowsky: Excuse me, Councilman Graham. Point of order. The city attorney has already opined on this. The item, it was agendized based on language that's in our public agenda and that I read to you at the outset. So, to dissect what the cover letter says, we're here to vote and not analyze. You know, good, bad or otherwise, given the confusion and I too had the same confusion. This is what is agendized and we were able to vote on that.

Councilman Graham: Mr. Parliamentarian, the Mayor made a point of the order. Am I not allowed to talk about the language the petition is that I shouldn't say disallowed, is that, am I out of order? I will withdraw if I am.

Luis Santaella: So, Mayor and members of the City Council, the rules were revised not too long to go to allow each member of the Council to speak once on a particular petition, right? So, in terms of the scope of that discussion, it's not specific. However, the agenda is pretty specific and it's as I indicated to the Vice Mayor, it's up to the City Council to interpret what the petition means and how to interpret it. And there are three particular avenues. So, I think it would be best to concentrate on those three particular avenues that the Council can consider, and then at the if it is agendized for a future meeting to discuss, I think that would probably be the more appropriate time to dissect it.

Councilman Graham: Where I was coming from and I appreciate the point of order. Where I was coming from was just, just to read and I wasn't the Mayor said I was dissecting the cover page, but I wasn't even reading the cover page because I was reading the petition that the signers who are before them. And time not trying to be disputatious whatsoever. I was explaining why I support the alternate motion and to give the public some some of the thinking behind what to share to them what this language says and maybe some ways to think about it. But, you know, I will take a hint and I will, I will conclude my remarks and I'm ready to vote. Thank you.

Mayor Borowsky: We have an alternate motion on the table and that's to direct the city manager to follow up with the applicant or the petitioner. All those in favor. Thank you very much. That concludes the petition conversation.

And now we are nearly at the end of the agenda. Councilman Graham, would you like to I think there are some Councilmember comments. Is that you? Did you have something?

MAYOR AND COUNCIL ITEM

[Time: 01:15:14]

Councilman Graham: Oh, thank you, Mayor. It's not comments, it's to you know, you know, I just had I had my meeting minutes or meeting agenda up and I accidentally closed it. So let me just find that real quick. I wanted to bring before my colleagues for their consideration. There it is, I found it. To direct the City Clerk and Interim City Attorney to agendize the City Council presentation for discussion and possible action to require City Council approval when boards and commissions change their bylaws, I think it's I think it's prudent that that they can do whatever they want so their bylaws and then they come before us, the City Council, who is accountable to the people and we can confirm those bylaw changes.

But when I was talking to the City Clerk about this item, it's coincidentally, I think changes to the boards and review procedures are already coming before us, just probably some housekeeping items. And I would kindly ask my colleagues to consider this to be included in that. So, I'm not specifying a particular date for when we can consider that change, but I guess I'm kindly asking my colleagues to be consider this included as part of the when city staff when the City Charter Officers bring this to City Council. Do I make a motion? I haven't done this in a while. I will move to direct the city manager or responsible city charter officer to agendize the item for a future meeting.

Councilwoman Dubauskas: Second.

Mayor Borowsky: All right. Anyone have any questions or need clarification? All right. Let's take a vote on that. All right. That concludes the business for the evening, unless there's anything else, I will...

Councilman Graham: Councilmember reports.

COUNCILMEMBER REPORTS

Mayor Borowsky: I asked for those. Does anybody else have any? Okay. Go ahead.

[Time: 01:17:43]

Councilwoman Whitehead: Yeah, I just for our Council remarks, I want to make a couple of comments. We had the 20th anniversary of the Granite Reef Senior Center besides the millions of great things and community that center brings, it was a pleasure to be there. It was also the first senior center built in the state of Arizona that was LEED certified and LEED gold. So, it's energy efficient and conserves water and has beautiful green spaces.

Also, I want to say that the city of Scottsdale was honored for the Ashler Hills Park this past weekend by

the Arizona Forward, so that was another great event. We had a park employee there with his wife and then finally, I want to tell everybody that next, this Saturday is the Tour de Scottsdale third annual. So, I'm personally excited about riding in the heat for 60 miles. But anyway, it's really a great event and really good for Scottsdale. So, thank you for this opportunity to say these remarks.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you. Councilwoman McAllen.

[Time: 01:18:56]

Councilwoman McAllen: Thank you, Mayor. I just wanted to say that this past week, I had the pleasure of representing Scottsdale at the National Leagues of Cities and Towns National Conference, in Washington, D.C. And the main topic was, of course, water in Arizona and our intergovernmental director or manager Sarah Sparman along with our Fire Chiefs, Gannon and Gagnon and they, we spent the day on Capitol Hill, talking to Representative Schweikert, Representative Biggs and Senator Gallego and Senator Kelly about the needs of water in Arizona. It was very fruitful and literally spent 45 minutes in Schweikert's office and he is hoping to help us with water. So, I was very happy to represent Scottsdale. Thank you.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you very much. Excellent. Councilman Graham.

Councilman Graham: I want to agree with the Mayor about the excellent event for the expansion of the Western Spirit, the museum in the downtown with the Sands expansion hosting the Eddie Basha Art Collection, one of a kind exhibit, and it will be a gem for our downtown and for and a cultural attraction for many years to come. Very excited about that.

I want to remind everybody about the National Vietnam Veterans Day, Sunday March 29th at 11 A.M. at the Scottsdale Civic Center east bowl and I want to remind everybody as it's going on about the Gold Palette Art Walk every Thursday at 6:30. It is a it's a can chance to always explore Scottsdale's art district on our Thursday evenings this spring. So, hope to see you there. Thank you.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you. That concludes our business for this evening. Thank you for spending the day, evening with us. We appreciate it. And motion to adjourn. Anybody opposed?

Councilwoman Whitehead: So moved.

Councilwoman McAllen: Second.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you very much.

ADJOURNMENT

[Time: 01:21:04]