
This document was created from the closed caption transcript of the August 24, 2026 City Council Regular Meeting has not been checked for completeness or accuracy of content.

A copy of the agenda for this meeting, including a summary of the action taken on each agenda item, can be accessed through the City Clerk Archived Documents Search at:

<https://eservices.scottsdaleaz.gov/cityclerk/DocumentSearch>

An unedited digital video recording of the meeting, which can be used in conjunction with the transcript, is available online at:

<https://ww2.scottsdaleaz.gov/scottsdale-video-network/Council-video-archives/2026-archives>

For ease of reference, included throughout the transcript are bracketed "time stamps" [Time: 00:00:00] that corresponds to digital video recording time.

For more information about this transcript, please contact the City Clerk's Office at 480-312-2411.

CALL TO ORDER

[Time: 00:00:01]

Mayor Borowsky: All right. Good afternoon. I would like to officially call the August 24, 2026 City Council Regular Meeting to order. City Clerk, Ben Lane, may I please have a roll call.

City Clerk Ben Lane: Thank you, Mayor. Mayor Lisa Borowsky.

Mayor Borowsky: Present.

Ben Lane: Vice Mayor Maryann McAllen.

Vice Mayor McAllen: Present.

Ben Lane: Councilmembers Jan Dubauskas.

Councilwoman Dubauskas: Here.

Ben Lane: Barry Graham.

Councilman Graham: Here.

Ben Lane: Councilman Adam Kwasman.

Councilman Kwasman: Here.

Ben Lane: Councilmember Kathy Littlefield.

Councilwoman Littlefield:

Ben Lane: And Solange Whitehead.

Councilwoman Whitehead: Here.

Ben Lane: City Manager Greg Caton.

City Manager Greg Caton: Here.

Ben Lane: City Attorney Luis Santaella.

City Attorney Luis Santaella: Here.

Ben Lane: City Treasurer Sonia Andrews.

City Treasurer Sonia Andrews: Here.

Ben Lane: Acting City Auditor Lai Cluff

Acting City Auditor Lai Cluff: Here.

Ben Lane: And the Clerk is present. Thank you, Mayor.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you very much. This afternoon we have Police Officers Savage, Ortiz and Sergeant Bolles as well as Firefighters Christine Capatano and Andrew Taylor. If anyone needs their assistance, please let a member of our staff know.

For the Pledge of Allegiance, I would like to call upon Councilman Kwasman to lead us in that.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

[Time: 00:01:02]

Councilman Kwasman: Please join me in the Pledge of Allegiance. I pledge allegiance to the flag of the

United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands: One nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you very much. And for the invocation oh, here we go. Hello. Let the record reflect, Councilwoman Littlefield has arrived.

Councilwoman Littlefield: I apologize for being late.

Mayor Borowsky: No worries. No worries. It's too hot out there to be in a hurry. To speed right. No pun intended. Next, we have the invocation which I would like to call upon Councilwoman Whitehead.

INVOCATION

Councilwoman Whitehead: Thank you, Mayor. So tonight, we were supposed to hear from Pastor Chris Woodard from Valley Presbyterian Church but like all dads of small children, he caught a bug and got sick and isn't here so maybe we should take a moment of silence for him.

But also, I think all of us feel the weight of all the troubles we have going on in this world. I think most of us like myself wake up grateful and just wanted to have a moment of silence just to give a thought about how we can be part of solution and make the world a better place. So please join me in a moment of silence. Thank you. Thank you.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you, Councilwoman.

MAYOR'S REPORT

[Time: 00:03:03]

As in for the Mayor's Report, first, I would like to welcome you all back to City Hall. Thank you for being here. It's been an eventful summer. While many of you, I'm sure, got some traveling in, for the first time in 35 years, I stayed here all summer and I'm happy to report, it wasn't as bad as I thought it would be. It was a pretty nice summer. So exciting times.

Scottsdale, one of the highlights for me and I think for Scottsdale, was we hosted the Small Business Supplier Matchmaker Executive Networking reception and the administration was actually here from D.C. It was hosted at the Grand Hyatt Scottsdale Resort and sponsored by Intel. It connected small businesses with major corporate and government procurement opportunities, reinforcing our place here in Scottsdale as a hub for innovation and entrepreneurship. So that was a really successful event and I was thrilled to be part of it.

Also, this past week, many of us here on the dais attended the League of Arizona Cities and Towns Annual Conference, which was held at the Arizona Biltmore this year and that we were surrounded by leaders from across Arizona, who came together to share ideas, tackle common

challenges and discuss solutions that will ideally benefit our residents.

One of those solutions that I was very proud to participate in is this was a resolution to for support that was this to be supported by the resolutions committee to bring to the state legislature this year and it was sponsored by the Mayor of Sedona. And it was the prohibition of apartment complexes or multifamily actually, it was mobile home communities in her community, where they couldn't be turned into big giant, you know, short-term rental communities. And so, I made an amendment to also include condominium projects, condominium associations, that those would be included and not be allowed to be turned into just a giant short-term rental opportunity, and she accepted that amendment, which I was thrilled about. And that will be moving forward, hopefully it will get started in the state legislature this year. In addition, as we head into fall, we are looking forward to lots of exciting initiatives coming forward to your City Hall and we encourage you to stay tuned and stay involved.

And before we get started on the meeting, I would like to congratulate all of the candidates that made it through the primary in July, two of which are on our dais, Councilwoman Whitehead, she was elected outright. I would like to say congratulations to Councilwoman Whitehead. I actually have a letter of congratulations that you can put on your wall. And good job. You don't have to you don't have to campaign anymore for a while. So that's exciting.

Also, Councilman Graham made it through the primary as well, together with two other candidates, Bob Littlefield, who we know and miss, who that was exciting, he made it through but then elected to go a different direction and of course, Raoul Zubia. So, congratulations to Councilman Graham and the others who made it through.

All right. Oh, I'm sorry. Yes. Of course. And Councilwoman Whitehead would like to take a few words.

[Time: 00:03:27]

Councilwoman Whitehead: Thank you, Mayor. Listen, I'm glad to have the floor and be able to say thank you to all the people that voted. You know, nobody thought that I would get too politics anyway, because I'm an engineer and then nobody thought I would get into politics and come out more optimistic about the future in humanity. But when you are in Scottsdale, it's hard not to get more optimistic when you have the opportunity to deal with literally thousands and thousands of people. This is such a community of people who care, and it's diverse. And yet we come together on issues and there's just no way anybody who knows this community can't know that we agree on so much more than we disagree on and the desire here to work together and solve problems and think about the future as unlike anyplace I've ever been. We operate like a small town, but I want to tell you when about 8:15, when those numbers come out and there's just thousands and thousands and thousands of votes. You're like these are people who cast ballots that I don't even know. It's humbling. They weren't all for me but it's humbling to believe that all of these people care enough to vote in the middle of July. And so thank you.

And congratulations also to my colleague Barry Graham, to Raoul Zubia and Michelle, I guess two out of

three of them will be joining us up here.

Mayor Borowsky: Oh, my goodness, I forgot my good friend, Michelle Ugenti-Rita. She also made it through the primary. She's a good friend of mine so that would have been very awkward. And so congratulations to all the primary candidates who made it through. Oh okay.

[Time: 00:08:52]

Councilwoman Whitehead: I'm so sorry. I was also going to how many people here speak public comments tonight? There are a few. I was just while I have the floor, thank you for giving me back the floor. I was going to ask my colleagues up here if they might, for this tonight, since we have so many public speakers, support me in my motion to suspend the Council Rules relating to public comment. Instead of making all of these people wait until the end of the meeting and go and have up to ten public speakers now. So, I I move to do that, if I have any support for that.

Vice Mayor McAllen: Second.

Mayor Borowsky: So, we have a motion and a second. And forgive me, City Clerk Ben Lane, I don't have the list. Here it is in front of me. What are you exactly referring to?

Councilwoman Whitehead: So, there's a lot of people who came for public comment.

Mayor Borowsky: You're talking about the non-agendized public comment? Just so we're clear on what you are referring to.

Councilwoman Whitehead: Just tonight and then there's another issue to talk about my intent.

Mayor Borowsky: So, we will go ahead and take a vote on this.

Councilwoman Whitehead: Thank you.

Mayor Borowsky: All right. So, moving right along moving on to presentation and information updates.

PRESENTATION/INFORMATION UPDATES

[Time: 00:10:27]

Tonight, City Council will recognize employees with 20 or more years of service, as of August 2026. And with that, I will turn it over to Holly Peralta, Communications Senior Director.

Communications Senior Director Holly Peralta: Good evening, Mayor Borowsky and Councilmembers. I'm happy to be back with you this evening to announce our city employees who have reached 20 or more years of service. That list tonight actually includes one of our city Charter Officers. So, in light of

that, I have asked Chief LeDuc to come up with me and he's going to say a few words about our City Attorney Luis Santaella.

[Time: 00:11:15]

Police Chief LeDuc: Good evening, Mayor and members of Council, I wanted to take a minute to really recognize 25 years of incredible service by Luis to our city. And I've had the privilege of working with Luis for all of those 25 years as a colleague. And what always stood out to me with Luis is how he never practiced law from a distance. He was always engaged when he was a police legal advisor, he would come out to crime scenes and stand along our officers late at night. He would show up on scenes that were complex and difficult and sometimes dangerous. Actually, he asked me for a bulletproof vest, which we're providing him for showing up.

He took the time to understand the legal challenges that were always omnipresent with the police department, and it was because when he gave advice, he wanted it to be practical. He wanted it to be informed and he wanted it to be grounded in the real world. So, it's with that kind of engagement, it was to wonder, why Luis grew from a prosecutor to a police legal advisor, into a leader in the City Attorney's Office. And ultimately, our city attorney and a parliamentarian.

Luis is one of the most motivated, talented and skilled attorneys I have ever worked with, and in my opinion, he's one of the finest attorneys that Scottsdale's ever had. But most important, Luis cares deeply about the city and organization and the people who work here and that speaks volumes about who he is as a person, and it's demonstrated through his work product. I just read the newest highlights from the City Attorney's Office and this was one quote in there that really stood out to me, and that is Luis said we were embracing innovation and continuous improvement, seeking new ways to enhance our services and better meet the city's evolving needs and I know personally that these are not just words, it is a reality under his leadership. So, Luis, congratulations, on 25 years. I'm proud to have worked alongside of you during the 25 years and I know Scottsdale is better because you chose your career here. Congratulations, sir.

Holly Peralta: Luis, we'll have you come up in just a moment. We also have a few additional city employees tonight that we would like to recognize tonight. We have Seth Peterson with 20 years of service. He's with our city's Prosecutor's Office. We also have Allen Christianson, he's with web and design services. He has 25 years of service. And we have Kevin Orvis who is with the police department and 25 years of service. If you would all like to come up, we'll take a photo with the Mayor and the Councilmembers and congratulate you for your service. Thank you.

Mayor Borowsky: Congratulations to all of those employees and thank you for your service.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

Next, we have Executive Session announcement, as is the case at every meeting, the Council may make a motion, if necessary, to recess into Executive Session. If we need to obtain legal advice on any

applicable item on the agenda, if so authorized by the Council, the Executive Session would take place immediately and will not be open to the public. The public meeting will resume immediately following.

MINUTES

[Time: 00:16:23]

Next, we have Meeting Minutes, excuse me. May I have a motion to approve Regular Meeting Minutes of June 9, 2026, Special Meeting Minutes of June 9, 2026, Special Meeting Minutes of June 16, 2026, and Executive Session Meeting Minutes of June 16, 2026?

Vice Mayor McAllen: So moved.

Councilwoman Whitehead: Second.

Mayor Borowsky: Please indicate your vote. Thank you.

CONSENT AGENDA

[Time: 00:16:58]

Moving on to the Consent Agenda, we have Consent Agenda items 1 through 26. Do any members of the Council have any questions or wish to pull any the Consent Agenda items? I know that I have already pulled item number 26 and that's the Budget Review Commission item and anybody else? I don't see anybody else. So, with that, I will entertain a motion to approve Consent Agenda items 1 through 25.

Ben Lane: Mayor, I apologize, we have some public speakers.

Mayor Borowsky: Sorry, sorry, sorry. We have a couple, actually. We have Leah McCardie on item 18 and then Joe Junker, we will wait on you for item 26. Come on up. I'm sorry to the right there on the podium. Leah, right? Okay.

[Time: 00:18:05]

Leah McCardie: Hi, my name is Leah McCardie, I'm an Arizona business owner and the founder of Creative Cupcakes. I also run an advocacy group called Urban Night Girl. My work began in the streets of Phoenix helping vulnerable people, families, victims and children, but eventually I realized something, helping people after systems failed them is not enough. So, I followed the problem upstream, from the streets into the courtroom, from community advocacy into constitutional rights.

Today, I sit in courtrooms, attend government meetings, work alongside families affected by CPS and foster care and I bear witness when citizens are passed from agency to agency, looking for somebody willing to take responsibility. I advocate alongside families, including my current victims, the Burr family.

In Luke 12:48, it tells us, for unto whomsoever much is given, of him shall much be required. Government has been given enormous power. So, we, the people, have every right to require an accountability.

So tonight, Scottsdale is considering funding for forensic services used to investigate crimes. That's good. Let's follow the evidence. Chief Joe LeDuc, when Scottsdale discovers credible evidence of a crime that crosses outside of Scottsdale, who owns that evidence next? Who documents the referral? Who confirms it was received? Who follows up?

Jerry Sheridan, as the Sheriff, when evidence reaches Maricopa County jurisdiction, what does your office use with it? If MCSO used automated license plate readers, then show us the safeguards. Show us the audits and the retention rules and show us what happens when it indicates a crime.

County Attorney Rachel Mitchell, who owns the decision to prosecute? And when prosecution is declined how are the victims given a meaningful explanation? Families cannot continue being bounced between DCS, law enforcement and courts while children remain in government care.

Attorney General Kris Mayes, when your office exercises statewide investigative authority, we the people deserve to know what was investigated, what jurisdiction existed, what conclusions were reached and where responsibility went next.

[Time: 00:20:37]

Governor Katie Hobbs, executive authority carries executive accountability. The scrutiny surrounding sunshine residential homes and Arizona Foster Care system should concern every taxpayer and every parent.

Tonight, I'm asking Scottsdale to look at those statewide matters I'm asking Scottsdale to establish one simple principle when evidence crosses a jurisdictional boundary, it must not disappear. The DCS, attorney general, governor, every office has a lane, every office has authority, and every office should be able to establish its jurisdiction, identify what action it took and document where responsibility went next.

And one final observation about accountability, as we watch tonight, a lot of applause, Scottsdale published decorum rules prohibit applause. If their Chair chooses when the rule is enforced then we the people need to understand when it is applied. Government cannot demand strict compliance. They should apply it consistently. Establish authority, follow the rules, document the action, hold everyone accountable. Jurisdiction determines who has authority...

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you, Leah. The time is passed but thank you for your thorough explanation. Appreciate it. All right.

CONSENT AGENDA

[Time: 00:21:58]

So, we have a motion for Consent Agenda items 1 through 25 and I will ask for the vote, please.

Ben Lane: Mayor who seconded the motion?

Councilwoman Whitehead: Second.

Mayor Borowsky: Oh, thank you.

Ben Lane: And Mayor, it's for items 1 through 25; is that correct?

Mayor Borowsky: Yes.

Ben Lane: Okay.

Mayor Borowsky: All right. Please register your vote. Thank you.

ITEM 26

[Time: 00:22:28]

Moving on to item 26, and this is the Budget Review Commission item. Let me go to the specific language here. I won't take too much time with it. It's a proposal, the BRC for '26/27, the Budget Review Commission identified seven areas actually ten, but seven areas specifically that they would focus on in the 2026/2027 fiscal year. And of those there was one, number two, that deals with sunseting the preserve tax, and I am going to make a motion to remove that item because since the Budget Review Commission brought these forward, we have been presented with a lawsuit, a claim, legal claim, about the same matter. So, it's it wouldn't be appropriate for the Budget Review Commission to be working on that during the course of the litigation.

And then the second item I want to enhance is item number 5, which has to do with the funding of aging infrastructure, which the Budget Review Commission would like to review the city's aging facilities and associated capital and maintenance funding needs. And I would like to add a special emphasis that they focus their attention on water and sewer facilities enterprise funds. I will make a motion to move this forward with those amendments. And I see Councilwoman Dubauskas would like to speak on that.

Councilwoman Dubauskas: Thank you Madam Mayor. Sonia, where there some items, from what I understand that from my Budget Review Commission, you may have wished to prioritize?

City Treasurer Sonia Andrews: I'm sorry, can you repeat that question?

Councilwoman Dubauskas: Are there some items here that you would wish to show as prioritized.

Sonia Andrews: For the Budget Review Commission?

Councilwoman Dubauskas: Yes, for the Budget Review Commission. You have items here, numbers 1 through 10, was it your desire to prioritize them so the Budget Review Commission could have a little more direction?

[Time: 00:24:57]

Sonia Andrews: Yes, we would like the Budget Review Commission to prioritize these, because there are quite a few items for one year. However, we are not prioritizing it for them. We are allowing them to prioritize. The aging facilities funding priorities is a priority that the Budget Review Commission has mentioned. So, they probably would prioritize that one as well.

Councilwoman Dubauskas: So, in your view, is it necessary for us to amend this in any way to mention that there will be a prioritization that will take place by the Budget Review Commission?

Sonia Andrews: Councilwoman Dubauskas, members of the Council, the Budget Review Commission do appreciate the direction of Council. So, if the Council would like to prioritize, they would welcome that.

Councilwoman Dubauskas: Okay. I do think that there is an item on here that Mr. Newman mentioned to me that number item number 3, the Bond 2019 project, that was a question that he spoke with you about and feels that that has been resolved. So that could be deprioritized.

Sonia Andrews: Absolutely.

Councilwoman Dubauskas: From the list.

Sonia Andrews: And we can provide them updates.

Councilwoman Dubauskas: Number three, Bond 2019 projects, a review the committed and expended funds of the 2019 projects and the projects that remain to be completed. That's a typical report, right? So that's one he felt would be removed from the list because he felt that was completed. And as far as I'm concerned, I feel confident that the Budget Review Commission can prioritize them. I think they're aware of what their excited about, but so I guess I would move amend the Mayor's suggestion to remove item number 3.

Mayor Borowsky: Before I entertain that, would you agree that's complete then, Sonia? I'm sorry, City Treasurer?

Sonia Andrews: Yes, Mayor, members of the Council, we can certainly provide the Budget Review Commission with memo updates on the progress of the 2019 Bond program projects, and we don't need

to have them prioritize that as a discussion item.

Mayor Borowsky: Okay. And I know there's a member of the Budget Commission, any objection? All right. I hear a head nod. I hear a head nod. I will accept that friendly amendment and with the motion add the elimination of item number 3, the evaluation of Bond 2019 projects.

Councilwoman Dubauskas: Thank you, Madam Mayor.

Mayor Borowsky: Are you going to second it?

Councilwoman Dubauskas: Second.

Mayor Borowsky: Okay, we have a motion and a second. Vice Mayor McAllen.

[Time: 00:27:49]

Vice Mayor McAllen: I was going to second your motion, but I did want to speak to, I don't believe that the Budget Review Commission should be put in a situation where they're discussing things that there is a lawsuit pending. So, I would agree with that motion, that puts them in a very peculiar situation and that's something that the Council should be deciding on. So, I was just going to agree with you, but I wanted to make that comment. Thank you.

Mayor Borowsky: Okay. And I know we have a public speaker as well and city manager has indicated his desire to speak.

City Manager Greg Caton: Thank you, Madam Mayor. I just wanted to add a little context to item number 5 and how that came about. This specific item is meant to city facilities such as City Hall and other city-type offices. It wasn't meant to be capital projects of that nature. That's certainly reviewed through the capital program. And just give a little more context to it, the Budget Review Commission asked me, as it relates to pavements, there's a pavement condition index that we have discussed ad nauseam about our roads and they said, what's next on your list, Mr. City Manager? It's actually facilities. Because there's the same type of index for facilities like we are sitting in and we already have some of that work on. So, we anticipate doing more of that work and bringing it back to commission for discussion and education.

And so, I just thought that that context, as it relates to number five may be helpful. It wasn't intended to replace our capital improvements capital program which would be including water and wastewater that would be discussed through the budget development process. Thank you, Madam Mayor.

Mayor Borowsky: So, if I hear what you're saying we get a little remodel in the Kiva? That would be fabulous.

Greg Caton: Yes, this Madam Mayor, we do have a project and working with my colleagues, it was cued

up approximately this time of year, and given the election cycle, a little bit more outreach and engagement that we wanted to do with City Council, we have delayed that project until '27, sometime in the calendar year in 2027, and we want to engage you as to what this may look like and particularly the soundproofing and the technology has really become a challenge for our community members as they hear you do your business in here.

But then also the specific element really relates to the aging infrastructure such as roofs, HVAC, things of that nature that we have to continue to invest in, as we have a great number of facilities across our organization and community. So that's what that was intended to do. And there is an index that you can apply to each one of those buildings and certain assets and that will help us prioritize it. It's an extensive process and that's what we meant by item number five. Thank you, Madam Mayor.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you. Councilman Graham and then Joe Junker, we will have you speak.

Councilman Graham: That's okay.

Mayor Borowsky: All right. Why don't you come on up.

[Time: 00:30:55]

Joe Junker: Thank you, Madam Mayor and Vice Mayor McAllen. Thanks. This was on prioritization, and I wanted to express it from a citizen's perspective, as well. The concerns we have is that the capital projects do seem to be a major issue and it's sometimes appearing as though it's political expedience to be able to say, okay, we're going to bypass the future in the in the interest of having some sort of public presentation that things have been reduced or whatever. We really need to pay attention to the future so that the CIP is really, really important. I want to emphasize that the aging facilities I now understand as the City Manager has clarified. It's more the three factors the 5, the 9, and the 11, and really can't be thrown down the road, that Scottsdale has done in the past. We need to stay focused on the future. I wanted to voice that, thank you.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you very much Joe. Councilman Graham.

Councilman Graham: Mayor thank you. Question for my colleagues, did we remove item 2 preserve sales tax because of the ongoing litigation? What if, City Treasurer, the litigation is resolved and dispositive action is taken, can they bring it back I don't want to I don't want to wall off an area that they want to talk about, but I understand the need to avoid it. But if that resolves can they bring it back for their own discussion analysis?

Sonia Andrews: They can certainly bring it back.

Councilman Graham: Okay. There was a question on that and then number five, funding of aging infrastructure, this is kind of one of those basic things and I think we're already doing that but, you know, it's really focusing on our net depreciable assets and so we have these everything from our

facilities to our roads and everything that we can call an asset and what is an asset? An asset is something that you benefit from for more than one year. And, you know, what are we doing as far as repairs and maintenance, as a percentage of net depreciable assets every year and what are we investing capital expenditure-wise in our net depreciable asset.

You can look at those ratio and trends and comparative across our peers and making sure, like, the speaker said that our infrastructure and our capital's assets are being invested and we are getting away from what we were doing in the past, as far as deferring maintenance, and we're seeing our roads are falling into disrepair. So, we catching that back up. We know that catching up on deferred maintenance is more expensive and the savings you get from the current time by not doing them and so that's something that my colleagues think is important that we invest in our net depreciable assets. Thank you Mayor.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you. Okay with that, I will ask for a vote on item number 26 with the amendments. Thank you.

REGULAR AGENDA - ITEM 27

[Time: 00:34:25]

Now on to the Regular Agenda, item 27 is short-term rental emergency contact code amendment. And for this item, we will ask City Attorney Santaella to give us a brief presentation.

City Attorney Luis Santaella: Good afternoon, Mayor and members of the public, I'm here to talk about proposed Ordinance 4720, and what it does is it closes a loophole in our short-term vacation rental ordinance. Under state law, there's specific authority to require that a short-term vacation rental operator have an emergency contact available to handle both emergencies and complaints, the law is very specific. What this does, is the existing requirement is that a person be available for emergencies. Now they'll have to be available for complaints and we'll get into that in a little bit.

Why are we doing this? The Scottsdale Police Department fields frequent complaints and emergencies tied to these businesses. And the current version only requires them to be responsive to emergencies, not just complaints. What is an example of a complaint? Noise. People doing things that are not necessarily immediately threatening the public safety, but they are disturbing the peace, they are disturbing the neighborhood. So, the gap that we have now leaves the city without a direct contact that never arises to an emergency response. So, we are not asking if the person is notified that they respond, if it's just a complaint. We're just asking that they be available 24 hours a day like they have to be now for emergencies. So, it's not an additional burden and as you can see from the slide, the state law is posted.

So, again, 24-hour availability, they have to have that now. The only difference is they will also have to have 24-hour availability, the same person for complaints. With emergencies, they may have they may be asked to respond within an hour. That's not there's no change there, but they need to be able to pick

up their phone, answer the email when there's a complaint. There's no requirement if it's just a complaint that they go out actually out to the STR. The ordinance now has a definition of emergency, it has a definition of complaint. And basically, to sum up the definition of a complaint, it's an unlawful operation or report to the city of crime, disturbance or loud noise that's offensive or detrimental to the neighborhood. For example, let's say there's excessive parking related to this short-term vacation rental, lots of noise, et cetera.

This chart just outlines the penalties. If the police are calling them because of an emergency or a complaint, they will be penalized if they are not answering that phone call or answering an email. They will not be penalized if they don't go out to the short-term rental. They should have a designee available 24 hours a day under our ordinance. That same person now is responsible for being reachable for complaints.

Additional resources, it should not require additional resources. Our existing police and code enforcement personnel will be involved enforcing it. In fact, Sergeant Bolles who is the primary enforcement. I talked to him and he supports it. And I talked to Chief LeDuc. It was reviewed by the police and the other departments that are involved with short-term vacation rental ordinances.

In closing, I want to say that probably the vast majority of short-term rentals are written in the last few years. And I would not bring an ordinance forward unless it was in full conformance of both the state law and the constitution and within our existing powers. With that, I will take any questions.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you. Vice Mayor McAllen.

[Time: 00:39:06]

Vice Mayor McAllen: Thank you, Mayor. Thank you, city attorney for the presentation. And thank you for your continued efforts on this. I know you have been working on this continuously. The ordinance before, about the events ordinance and then this. This is one of the chief complaints we receive on a regular basis. This is one of the things when I'm in public and people come straight for you, hey, what about this? Everybody's neighborhood is that, but I'm very grateful that you brought this forward. It gives us one more tool in the tool bag for our citizen residents who feel like they don't have any power over this state law that was imposed on our cities and this does give them a little more responsibility, you know, for the owner of the STR and to actually answer to residents.

With that, I will move that we adopt ordinance number 4720, adopting Scottsdale revised code, Chapter 18, public nuisance and property maintenance vacation rentals, and short-term rentals division prohibitions and operation requirements by repealing and replacing Section 18-172, failure to respond to an emergency or complaint, penalties relating to the failure or designated vacation rental or short-term rental emergency contact to respond to an emergency or complaint.

Councilwoman Whitehead: Second.

Mayor Borowsky: Councilwoman Whitehead.

Councilwoman Whitehead: Thank you. Thank you, Luis. So, yes, short-term rentals I didn't know was a problem until I was elected. We have been part of pretty powerful meetings where people were unhappy and emotional and we have come a long way since then. I think the clarification you gave I hope that we had a couple short-term rental operators or at least one that was concerned about this. This was definitely about addressing, like, as you said, filling some gaps and making sure that we can enforce the laws we have on this. We have always been ahead in the city of Scottsdale so that we can enforce the ordinances we have put on the books. So, I definitely support this and hope to continue working with our state legislature to give us more control.

And I just want to point out that there's been a couple of people in the last year, year and a half that have come to me with short-term rental headaches and problems and Luis, you has done a good job helping to solve those problems. Although I will tell you one person moved, they actually left the state because the short-term rentals and neighborhood and the aggressive behavior of some bad actors. This is a serious problem. Thank you.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you. Councilman Graham.

[Time: 00:42:22]

Councilman Graham: Mayor, thank you. This Council asked the city attorney to look at more ways to increase enforcement and increase tools that we have. This is a very severe problem and especially 85257, 50 and 51. I have something like 75, 80% of the short-term rentals are concentrated in those zip codes. I know on my own street, seven houses on the cul-de-sac and two of them are short-term rental, many LLC hotel operators. We see it. We feel it. We hear about it. I'm excited to see what you come up with next, and how do you push the envelope next, Mr. City attorney, not that this is pushing the envelope. Thank you and I'm excited to support this.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you. Councilman Kwasman.

Councilman Kwasman: Thank you, Madam Mayor. Explain it again to me about a nonemergency complaint used in this scenario?

Luis Santaella: So, Mayor and members of the City Council, the state law allows us to respond to emergencies or complaints and previously, our ordinance only required response to emergencies. But the same person that's responsible for responding to emergencies under this state law and under our ordinance will be the same, the same person.

Councilman Kwasman: No, I understand that. That's clear. What is not clear to me is a nonemergency complaint.

Luis Santaella: So, the ordinance differentiates between an emergency and a complaint. And under the

ordinance, if it falls under the emergency definition, they can be required to respond officially. If it falls under the definition of complaint, all they have to do is answer their phone or email and have some sort of dialogue with public safety. They don't have to physically go anywhere.

Councilman Kwasman: Okay. So, again, there's a noise ordinance that's in a neighborhood in this scenario. Okay? So, let's say the rowdy you know, let's just make it the worst-case scenario, it's a bachelor party. It's a rowdy bachelor's party and they are bumping music, and it falls above the noise ordinance, right? Is the police department not available for them when in a code enforcement aspect there?

Luis Santaella: No, the police would still be available and would respond. The only difference is you call the person, the STR operator about a complaint and perhaps they will call the place and ask them to quiet down before the police get there. This does not change in any shape or form the way the police respond to calls. The only thing it's requiring is they be available to take calls about the complaints of about the property. It can be anything. The example you gave, Councilman, to just a bunch of cars parked in neighborhood. So, the concept is to embrace the full authority of the statute that the state gave us. And we left out complaints and we were only addressing emergencies.

[Time: 00:45:57]

Councilman Kwasman: I understand the difficulty it is for bad acting Airbnbs, the difficulty it is for neighbors, for bad acting Airbnbs. I fear, I fear undue regulatory requirements, but at the same time, I'm going to vote for this because of the fact that if it were a, if Airbnbs I hate using the word Airbnbs, STRs, it's not just Airbnbs. I use it as the word Band-Aid, right. The problem comes in and that everybody knows if it acted the way it was supposed to act, you would know the person who owned the Airbnb and you would be able to contact them directly, the STR and you could contact them directly because that's difficult and there's a tremendous amount of corporate-owned STRs and the ability to call a neighbor is not there. It allows regulatory item in a regulatory bracket on the police. So, with that, I will support this. Thank you.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you, Councilwoman Dubauskas.

Councilwoman Dubauskas: Thank you Madam Mayor. So, I wanted to walk through the process. So, there's a loud party, and an email is sent to the registered STR operator and are we assuming that the registered email and phone number that are provided I mean, if I'm asleep, I'm not going to answer it, right? I think we're having a base assumption that there's some sort of answering mechanism that's in place that will allow them to receive the email timely.

Luis Santaella: Yeah, they're required to it's generally by phone, and perhaps Sergeant Bolles could come up here for a second to answer the mechanics since you do it all the time.

Councilwoman Dubauskas: Yeah, thank you. Yeah, I would like to understand, like, what is this flow, what does this look like? And then what the consequences be for someone that accidentally misses it?

Because we have sophisticated operators like Councilman Kwasman was mentioning that own several and are a corporation. We also have individuals and we want to be mindful of the neighborhood, but what is the what would the process be?

Councilman Kwasman: Councilmember to that point, to that point, can I jump on your question and back it for the same the same if I may?

Mayor Borowsky: Would you like to be recognized?

Councilman Kwasman: Please Madam Mayor, just to that point.

Mayor Borowsky: Were you done?

Councilwoman Dubauskas: I can be done.

Mayor Borowsky: Go right ahead, Councilman Kwasman.

[Time: 00:49:00]

Councilman Kwasman: If this is not already in the system I mean, don't they have to when they register to be an STR with the police, or with or with the city, is that not already something that they have to provide in their application?

Luis Santaella: Yeah, they are not going to have to provide any additional information. The emergency contact that they have provided when they got licensed is the same person that will be responsible for answering complaints. And I will turn it over to sergeant bowels and he can typically tell you how it works on a Saturday night, let's say.

Sergeant Bolles: Thank you for having me. Sorry. There's a couple ways this works, and I will try not to be long. But we could have a situation on a Saturday where there's a promoter party. We go to the party, we clear the house, the tenants run from us, and we need we want to file charges. You know, the residents are asking what's happened? We're like we want to file charges. So, what we were having is we would write to the emergency contactor, the owner of these STRs, and they just wouldn't respond. And they have the owner the name of these people who are trying to conduct an investigation. And they need to respond they need to by law respond to us, and they are just not. That's one scenario this could be used.

Another is a typical Saturday and let's say they have a neighbor has tried to call the emergency contact and there's eight people in the backyard; the TVs are a little loud. We might have ten calls stacked. I see the call come in. If I can call the emergency contact, a little lower than you were talking about, sir, not quite the raging party where we're going to that one. There's 30 cars. We're coming. But I just need someone to help that resident quiet them down, that's really what this is designed for. And with 3,800 short-term rentals in the city, you know, we need the emergency contacts and the property owners to

be responsible. And so, if I call them, or email them at 2:00 on a Saturday, and say, hey, your eight guests are blaring the TVs, can you help me out and get ahold of them to turn that down? That's really what this is for. Aside from the emergency where, you know, God forbid we have the shooting at the short-term rental. We're on the phone and say you need to be here in ten minutes to help us sort this out. This is the lower level where we are looking to use this ordinance.

Mayor Borowsky: Councilwoman Whitehead. Oh, Councilwoman Dubauskas, did you want to continue?

[Time: 00:51:46]

Councilwoman Dubauskas: Yes, please. Thank you, Madam Mayor. With this ordinance, it sounds like what's happening is today we're being ignored in some instances?

Sergeant Bolles: Correct.

Councilwoman Dubauskas: And so, what we are seeking to do is if someone ignores us, it hurts and they don't ignore us next time?

Sergeant Bolles: Yes, that would be a fair assessment.

Councilwoman Dubauskas: Okay, so one the things I will ask is what are we going to do to communicate with the short-term operators to understand that they can't ignore us. Do we have a communication plan set out so that we don't have a bunch of people saying, hey, wait a minute, I got a penalty, I didn't realize. What's the strategy there?

Sergeant Bolles: We have several ways we do it. One, there's an email that the city has that goes to every registered short-term rental owner. So that will go out. We'll use social media to get the word out on our platforms. And then we have a couple of organizations and groups that like, owner groups that will also send this out. So, we'll get it out through several different means.

Mayor Borowsky: Councilwoman Whitehead.

Councilwoman Whitehead: I will just keep this brief. Yeah, businesses that run businesses have responsibilities. So, it's not the government's job to do their job. So yes, I absolutely support this. The public is paying for short-term rentals more than we should and this is an excellent opportunity to make sure that we're all in this together, including those who are profiting from these operations, should be responsible for these operations. Thank you.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you. I don't see any other speakers. With that, we have a motion to approve item number 27, and we can please register your vote. All right.

PUBLIC COMMENT

That concludes the Regular Agenda items and moving on to public comment on non-agendized items, and this period is reserved for Scottsdale citizens, business owners and/or property owners to comment on non-agendized items that are within the Council's jurisdiction. No official Council action can be taken on these items that the speakers address, and each speaker is limited to three minutes. If you wish to speak on a non-agendized topic, please see the city clerk if you have not already registered. So, I will go ahead and call the first three or four and then we'll go down through. There are eight total. Whitney Warman, Ryan Johnson, Dan Ishac and Michael Craig Ramey. Oh, there you go.

[Time: 00:54:47]

Michael Craig Ramey: My name is Craig.

Mayor Borowsky: Okay, Michael Craig Ramey. Thank you.

Michael Craig Ramey: Thank you, Mayor and members of the Council, my name is Craig, Scottsdale has quietly built a surveillance network around its citizens. My question tonight is simple, when did we agree to this? The Arizona Mirror reported that Scottsdale Police conducted 12,527 searches of Flock's nationwide database in less than six months, while retaining vehicle location data for nearly six months. Flock says Scottsdale's real-time crime center combines it's license plate reader technology with approximately 4,000 live video streams.

In addition to Flock, Scottsdale has deployed Axon and AI powered platform that can integrate surveillance cameras, license plate readers, drones, AI-powered analytics and third-party systems into what Axon calls quote, a single pane of glass. The result is an expanding mosaic of information and a much larger privacy issue. The constitutional question is not one camera or one license plate reader, is what government can learn when thousands of observations are aggregated, stored, searched and connected. So the question is not simply whether each technology has a legitimate public safety use. This goes beyond Flock cameras. What happens when all of these technologies come together in that single pane of glass.

I reviewed three years of Scottsdale's City Council agendas minutes and public records. I have not found where this evolving integrated surveillance system was presented to this Council for public discussion about privacy and 4th Amendment safeguards. If I missed it, please tell when. Flock, Axon and Motorola Solutions publicly showcase Scottsdale. So how did that happen before Scottsdale's citizens had a meaningful say? Other Arizona communities are pushing back. Chandler discontinued its use of Flock cameras and the Pinal County Sheriff's Office is ending its Flock contract over 4th Amendment concerns about technology that goes far beyond reading license plates.

Is the 4th Amendment different here than in Pinal County? This is not an argument against police. This is an argument that surveillance at this scale should never become normal without public debate and constitutional scrutiny. Scottsdale has demonstrated what this technology can do, and now this Council

must decide what limits will govern it. Please put this entire issue on a Council agenda. Explain how this data is shared, retained. How much of a person's patterns and movements can be restructured. Public safety matters but the fourth amendment is not an obstacle to be engineered around. Thank you.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you very much Craig. We have Jason Alexander, Christine Burke and lastly, Laura Schwartz. Did I skip over you, Dan? Dan is next. Sorry, Jason. It's okay, Jason. Go ahead, you're closer and then we'll go with Dan Ishac.

[Time: 00:58:14]

Jason Alexander: Thank you, Mayor Borowsky. Mayor, before I dive into my actual comments, I wanted to get some clarification if I might about where we stand with the Elmo and playing videos. The last time I was here there were some concerns raised by City Attorney Santaella, he explained a specific objection to what I was playing at the time. What I would like to play is a clip from a Council meeting, not what he described as electioneering. I want to know if that's allowed.

Mayor Borowsky: From what I understand, and the city attorney can chime in if this isn't accurate. Nothing profane and nothing advocating for a candidate or a campaign, electioneering.

Jason Alexander: Okay. Is that okay. Well, just in the interest of simplicity, I've come prepared with just quotes and perhaps next time I will come back and play video. Thank you for that clarification.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you.

Jason Alexander: What I would like to talk about, like the prior speaker is the Flock cameras. And I would like to mention how I believe Mr. Graham is being very deceptive regarding his position on Flock cameras. Graham was on the Council the entire Scottsdale has used Flock cameras. He never opposed them. In August 15th, the Independent said Graham noted the Council never had a say on what the Council would use Flock cameras. This is false. On November 17, 2025, the Council discussed Flock cameras in the context of their lobbying and their lobbyists to the state. Government Relations Manager Sarah Sparman presented this screen dealing with cameras and technology and if I could have the overhead briefly, please. Sorry, if you can zoom in a little bit. I took a screen shot from the city video. There's multiple paragraphs and what I highlighted was a specific reference to license plate readers.

Mayor Borowsky, you actually began that conversation saying it was about Flock license plates and this was email traffic on the Flock license plates. So, I wanted to understand what it is, where we are at in this process and just ask some questions. Three commenters at that meeting specifically spoke about knock camera readers. As the discussion went on, Mr. Graham made a motion to say, on the public safety section, promote the responsible and transparent use of technology, period and take away all the other references, just leave it at that. This is not a debate about what we're doing today. We're not talking about license plate readers.

If I can keep on the overhead. Barry turned this into that. Cutting out all of this text that was relevant to

the license plate readers. So, Barry saying well, Council has never had an opportunity to discuss it is, again, completely false. Barry actually concluded that meeting by saying let's have a policy debate about Flock readers. That's never happened.

So, I would like to contrast Mr. Graham's statement with Councilor Whitehead who has taken the lead on discussing the Flock readers in her latest newsletter, she states clearly, I support ending Scottsdale's Flock contract, and removing the cameras, keeping Scottsdale safe and protecting residents' privacy are both top priority. Stay tuned for updates.

Councilwoman Whitehead thank you very much for actually putting some action behind your words, for being truthful about this and as a result, by contrast calling out how once again Barry Graham is lying to the residents about his positions. Thank you.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you. Dan Ishac. Followed by Melissa Kemp, Christine Burke, and Laura Schwartz.

[Time: 01:02:03]

Dan Ishac: This was another name before mine.

Mayor Borowsky: They're not here. Thank you for asking.

Dan Ishac: Dan Ishac, address on record, Mayor and Council, thank you for the opportunity to speak. At the last meeting, a resident French Thompson made some statements that were factually incorrect. Now, all of us have gaps in our understanding of what is fact and what is not. So, I will forgive Mr. Thompson for making two statements that were absolutely incorrect and we'll discuss why they were incorrect.

But I believe our elected officials have a greater obligation to understand the truth about matters on which they vote. I'm speaking about the Old Town parking deck. Not only did Mr. Thompson make some incorrect statements, but Barry Graham repeated that false statement and then doubled down on it. The false statement was that 37,000 residents voted for that location. That is not true. Barry then added to add some foundation for his lie, it was in the election pamphlet. I've read that pamphlet, every word, over and over. The location in Old Town Scottsdale was not specified.

Now, I could be incorrect in my understanding of the situation. So, I'm actually gonna let Barry explain how that site was selected. Sorry, technical error.

The the ballot didn't specify exactly where the parking structure should be. But we worked it out as a Council, you know, on record. Like we looked at other options. Well, the vote happened in 2019, but we didn't decide the site until 2024. And then in '24, we voted to authorize somebody, you know to get a bid for design.

Dan Ishac: So, I don't understand how a Councilmember can claim in one breath that the residents

picked that location but say on a recorded line that they didn't. Barry went further and then talked about selective outrage because nobody is complaining about the northeast quadrant. No, it's not selective outrage Barry because the northeast quadrant had a parking study that showed there was a need there. City staff showed there was a need there. 200 or more business owners in that area said that they needed it. Our police department said they needed it. The prior Council said it was needed there. And unlike the Old Town location, there is no historic building. There is a need and by the way, even your supporters, Barry, agreed that the northeast quadrant was needed. It's not selective outrage that one is against the southeast quadrant but support the northeast quadrant. Thank you.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you. I see Melissa Kemp walking up, followed by Christine and then Laura.

[Time: 01:05:36]

Melissa Kemp: Good evening, Mayor and Councilmembers. Melissa Kemp, McCormick Ranch. You saw me here for a while ago and I'm back ironically for a few days while this is open public comment season. So, thank you for letting me be here today. Tonight there's an agenda Number 31. I did sign up to speak on that, because there is a waiting list. Arbitrarily, three people and the fourth one is out. So that, to me is a perfect example of how open comment here and the rules that we're following is to quash and quell and people away and not open them and bring them in to speak when they want to be heard.

We heard about Flock cameras and people are thinking about 1984 and big brother. Yes, I think there's some big brother stuff coming but it's not just the cameras. Big brother happens when you have Councilmembers who seek to quash your voices and your statements. It's the public official who uses government process to make participation smaller or harder. Who protectively and consistently blocks access from people trying to reach them, who moves public comment later, who makes it tighter, who tells residents email is enough and then emails the emails. Who makes it harder to sign up, show up, speak up and be heard. That's wrong.

And then have the chutzpah to claim that they have expanded access. Councilmembers Graham, Kwasman, Littlefield and Dubauskas voted for this. They own this decision. They cannot hide behind staff. I filed a formal public official's request, a Freedom of Information Act request. I wanted to know what data they used to decide that there was a problem and if there was a problem, what data do you have that this was a solution. The city's attorney responded that the city had no quantitative data. No analysis. No ratios. No comparisons responsive to that request. No data. A decision that affected the right of 190,000 adults to potentially address their City Council. The real test is not how you treat people who agree with you. It's how you treat people who challenge you. Disagreement is not a failure in government. Refusing to hear disagreement is.

If this goes back to staff tonight, if I'm not able to talk on this item 31, let me say if you don't vote to put it on the public agenda, then at least if you send it back to staff and kick the can and hide, demand data. What problem existed? How often did it happen? Who was harmed? What did this change fix? Because until you can answer those questions, you need to go back to where we were, put open public comment near the front and let people speak and for goodness sake, Councilman Kwasman, Councilman Graham,

listen when they speak.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you, Melissa. Moving on to Christine Burke, you're right there. Thank you.

[Time: 01:09:14]

Christine Burke: I'm trying to move it along.

Mayor Borowsky: And Laura is behind you, so thank you.

Christine Burke: Council, Mayor, everybody here, I had a whole thing prepared. Since everyone seems to be speaking about Flock. Did I come here to speak about Flock. I pinned on my first police badge in 1986 and I swore to protect the people and also protect my cops and it's very hard to stand here and speak against something for law enforcement folks have talked about the 4th amendment. I'm just winging it now.

We've got, I believe, three lawyers on the dais here, and I really urge you to take a look at Chadtree, Carpenter, Riley, and Smith with the Fifth Circuit and what they're doing about privacy and how this is being viewed. And I will also tell you that there's nothing better than a cop on the street, a cop that lives in the community, that is in the community 24 hours a day, hears alarms and sees body language and can respond to anything and is not just on a fixed pole. And I would urge you to really research this issue. People have talked about what other communities are doing. But I'm 100% against this.

I believe there's going to be potentially liability issues for the city if this continues and and God forbid one of the cameras leads to something where there's some liability and whatever happens here in the city of Scottsdale. So that's what I wanted to bring to your attention. Thank you for and thank you for your police department for everything that you do. But you guys really need to research into this and look into this, because this is 100% absolutely not good.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you, Christine, Laura Schwartz.

[Time: 01:11:16]

Laura Schartz: Mayor and City Council, I wanted to maybe shift gears here and talk about something really positive that has happened. My name is Laura Schwartz. I worked a few years ago with her Harold Back to bring a citizen petition to ask that a third of a mile of sidewalk be built on 68th Street to connect the Arizona Canal with Camelback. It's four years later, but they have broken ground and I wanted to thank the Council for funding this. I also wanted to thank the staff for working through all the details with the neighborhood. The contractor is doing a great job, setting up and breaking down their cones every day and keeping the neighborhood neat and safe and I'm really looking forward to walking, riding and driving on a safer street. Thank you.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you, Laura. I think the whole city thanks you for your work on that. That was an

over, overlooked need for far too long. Next, that's it. That concludes non-agendized comment: And let's see, moving right along, we have Mayor and Council items 29 through 32.

MAYOR AND COUNCIL – ITEM 29

[Time: 01:12:39]

And before I get started on that well, item number 29 is my item. I should say responsibility. And that is the appointment, the newly formed position of a Council representative to the Scottsdale Arts Board of Trustees. And I I, with this Council's approval, will be joining the board as the appointment on behalf of the Scottsdale City Council and I'm really excited about this.

I think I think it's a great addition to have a member of the Council on that board, Scottsdale Arts runs the Performing Arts Center, the SMOCA, and the Civic Center here. They do a lot in the community as well as public art, taxpayer dollars, fund them heavily, very, very heavily, and I think it's incumbent on the Council to have a representative so that we know exactly what's going on and we have more of the residents' voices present at at, on that board. So, I'm going to ask for a motion to appoint me, I guess and second and this Council's support.

Councilwoman Littlefield: I will second that.

Councilwoman Whitehead: I will make the motion. Go ahead, Kathy.

Councilwoman Littlefield: I thought Lisa did.

Mayor Borowsky: I didn't. I think there's a conflict, I don't know. Maybe not a conflict. So, we have a...

Councilwoman Whitehead: I move to appoint Mayor Borowsky to the Scottsdale Arts board.

Councilwoman Littlefield: And I will second that.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you. Thank you. All right, Councilman Graham.

Councilman Graham: I agree with everything the Mayor just said, this is this almost feels kind of like why didn't we do this long ago? And I'm glad we're doing it and I'm pleased that the Mayor is willing to serve. And I also want to recognize Councilwoman Jan Dubauskas who led the effort to bring this idea forward and she gained the support from all of her colleagues unanimously, and I think it's gonna be a great addition to our partnership. So, thank you and I look forward to moving forward with this.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you very much. All right. We have a motion and a second. Please indicate your vote. All right. Thank you very much.

MAYOR AND COUNCIL - ITEM 30

[Time: 01:15:10]

Item number 30, I'm pleased to announce my appointment to the western spirit: Scottsdale's same issue here. We're going to have a board member a Councilmember joining the Scottsdale Museum of the West board, and that my appointment for that position was enthusiastically accepted by Councilman Kwasman, who is, little known fact is a big western art fan. Wow, look at that! Who would have guessed?

And that is my proposed designee for the Western Spirit, Scottsdale's Museum of the West Board of Trustees and with that, I will make a motion to appoint Councilman Kwasman to that board. Can I get a second or are you seconding it?

Councilman Graham: I will second it.

Mayor Borowsky: Okay, Councilman Kwasman, go ahead.

Councilman Kwasman: I want to say as someone would grew up a stone's throw from the DeGrazia Museum in Tucson, I want to express my gratitude for the Mayor. I will not let you down. I'm looking forward to working hand in hand with Todd and the rest the wonderful crew over at the Scottsdale Museum of the West and continuing to make this Smithsonian-affiliated museum the crown jewel of Scottsdale. So, thank you so much and I look forward to it.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you. I'm excited for the information and outcome and I think it will be a great recipe for even more success.

Mayor Borowsky: Councilman Graham.

Councilman Graham: I'm excited for Councilman Kwasman to serve on this and I look forward to regular updates from boat of any colleagues for the goings on of each of these organizations. Thank you.

Mayor Borowsky: We have a motion and a second. Please register your vote. All right. So, I didn't take speakers on those. We have three and I'm assuming they are for the next items, I hope I'm right about that.

MAYOR AND COUNCIL - ITEM 31

Item 31 and item 32, item 31 is was brought forward by Councilwoman Whitehead. So, I'm gonna let her take the lead on this. And I believe item 32 is the same it's the same.

Councilwoman Whitehead: Thank you, Mayor. I think there are public speakers, maybe we should have the the speakers come forward first, is that...

Mayor Borowsky: I would like you to tell everybody what the initiative is.

[Time: 01:18:01]

Councilwoman Whitehead: All right. Thank you, Mayor. So sometimes you don't know what you got until it's gone. We all know that. I never thought about public comment as optional. It was just so Scottsdale. It's just a tradition in Scottsdale. And I said this when the decision was made by this Council to put the public comment meetings, non-agendized to the back of the meeting is, listen, we hear from so many people and sometimes the delivery isn't quite as polite as we would like, but there's a message that we all hear and that message is important and we're a big city. And we don't, you guys are our ears and eyes. And if you don't tell us about a problem, it may slip through the cracks.

So public comment, it just makes Scottsdale, it makes the city different from any other government. We're really, really connected. We're your neighbors. We're connected to you, but it serves more than that by alerting us of issues ahead of time, we catch them, we fix them, and before they become problems, before we have a criminal problem, or, you know, some sort of deferred maintenance problem. So, these public comments are really important. And when we put 'em at the end of the meeting, we used to have kids from high school. We had one woman who was, like, very, very, pregnant and she had a baby at home. We had so many we had people who took trolleys to get here. When you kick those meetings to the end when you kick the comments to the end of the meeting, you have silenced all of those people. And we have seen that.

We've had kind of the same three people thank you for always coming but the same three people that speak at public comments and that's not the diversity. That's not the input that we need up here. So, I am asking my Council colleagues to reconsider. Listen, we all get beat up, but we cannot do our jobs without the residents of this community, and we know that the best ideas our biggest successes have started as resident ideas and were turned into reality by City Councils that listened.

So, I would I would like that's my motion today is to bring back or to restore public comment towards the beginning of the meeting, so we hear from all of those people and then get on with our business. And with that, I will be happy to have the public commenters come up.

Mayor Borowsky: So, what I wanted to have you do is explain exactly what the Mayor and Council item that you are that's on the agenda. So, I will just read it.

Councilwoman Whitehead: Okay.

Mayor Borowsky: Let me just read it. So, agendize Councilwoman Whitehead has made a request to agendize. It's not on the agenda tonight, agendizing possible action related to moving the non-agendized public comment section back to the beginning toward the beginning of the Council meeting, and the only Council action that may be taken on this is to direct the city manager or responsible charter officer to agendize the item for future meeting, direct the city manager to investigate the matter and prepare a written response or take no action.

And for item 32, same Councilwoman Whitehead is asking for the Council's approval to agendize a future item related to political signs request, and that is to direct the city attorney and the city clerk to agendize the Council meeting item related to establishing voluntary campaign sign guidelines for expanded sign-free zones, a number of signs placed per square mile, sign size, limitations, sign installation, best practices and sign removal.

And, again, the same three options are the only options that we can take tonight, and I am going to ask for the public comment now. So, we have well, let me take the public comment first, please. You know, surprisingly, we are only allowing three speakers on this, since there are only two more, I would like to allow all five people to speak. Does anybody have an objection to that? No. Okay. So, I'm going to do that.

First, we'll start with Raoul Zubia and then Dan Ishac and then Jason Alexander, Melissa Kemp and Joe Junker, if he's still here. Betty Janik, I don't see unless I'm missing her beautiful face. No.

[Time: 01:22:40]

Raoul Zubia: Good evening, everyone. Raoul Zubia, address on file. And I am here to talk about item number 31, asking you to please agendize the item, bring public comment back to the start of the meeting, and because this isn't just about saving time. It's actually about showing respect and building trust between the Council and the community that you serve. As we have seen ongoing, when public comment happens hour into the agenda, participating becomes a heavy burden for the working-class families and they are either forced to wait it out or not come at all.

So public comment should not be pushed to a point in the evening when people can no longer stay, especially since listening to the public is the fundamental duty of Council. It's not an inconvenience. Starting with public comment, it won't derail the schedule. Having a predictable start time actually makes things run smoother for everyone. Since residents won't have to sacrifice their entire evening just to be heard. Moving public comment back to the front of the agenda costs nothing. It requires no extra staff. But it pays massive dividends in the public trust.

As you know, you were elected to represent all the people of the Scottsdale, not simply conduct business in front of them. So, I'm asking you to please make it easier for our neighbors to speak up and show them that their voices truly matter and re-agendize this item for the future. Thank you very much.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you, Raoul. Next, I have Dan Ishac.

[Time: 01:24:31]

Dan Ishac: Dan Ishac, address still on record. I want to speak to both of these items, but I would like to start by congratulating Councilwoman Whitehead for her decisive victory in the primary and I'm happy to have you seated again. I think your vote count was more than the last primary when somebody got seated and luckily, you didn't come in third.

With regard to public comment, all of the statements, all of the reasons to move it back have been provided at infinitum. But the mendacity of some of the Councilmembers in supporting moving it to the end is striking. For example, they talked about, well, Phoenix does it and so do other cities. As I said before, I don't know a single Scottsdale resident who says I really want to live in Phoenix, but I can't. So, I had to settle for Scottsdale. Also, what was excluded from that data was that Phoenix Council meetings start at 2:30, not 5 p.m. So, somebody waiting until the end of a Phoenix Council meeting isn't here at 8:00 p.m. like I usually am.

And then for some of the Councilmembers to say that they expanded public comment because they increased the number of slots is more lies because we have only had once in two years more than ten people sign up for public comment and since this change has been made, we have never achieved ten people speaking at public comment. So, the reason it was moved is because people don't like being challenged. People don't like being called out on their lies. People don't like being called out on their bad votes. I'm not expecting anything to change. It's like spitting into a hurricane, but thank you, Councilmember, Whitehead for trying to do it.

With regard today signs, I would like the city to do whatever they can do legally to make it less of an eye sore during election season. Until recently, Fountain Hills somehow banned virtually every sign, and I'm not saying that they did it legally. And this year, they've actually allowed them, but if there's any way to put restrictions, let's do that. We did not have Councilmembers put multiple signs right after each other, but there were several candidates in other offices do that. We don't need to see five of the same signs every tenth of a mile. If we can limit that, please do.

The other thing and again, most of our Council candidates were quite good at removing things but if we have the ability to actually find a campaign or a candidate for failing to remove their signs on a timely basis, we should do that. We should do it for two reasons. It's a public safety issue for our employees, our city employees to have to go and remove and do the job that these people said that they were going to do.

And secondly, we should do it because it's an eye sore after the monsoons what they are just flapping around. We need to put some teeth so to the extent we can do anything, Mr. City attorney, let's do it. Thank you.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you, Dan. Next, we can have Jason Alexander and then Melissa and then Joe.

[Time: 01:27:51]

Jason Alexander: Thank you, Councilwoman Whitehead for proposing this issue. I was going to quote your newsletter, but what you said was just so spot on and perfectly expressed why public comment is important, why seeing people's faces and hearing the tone in their voice is important. In the times that I have come to Council meetings, like so many of the items you have mentioned, I have seen people coming up here with zoning issues they had no idea where to go to get resolved, neighborhood issues, and people praising our police and fire for outstanding service and talk about good things in their

communities, their teachers and schools and yes, to ask for help for a barking dog and complain about \$30 million wasted making a roundabout less safe. These are all very important issues. As Melissa Kemp brought up so wonderfully. I have only read her posts on Nextdoor. She asked for public for data. Got no data on the city, no data on speaker counts or no data on early versus late public comment. No data on meeting delays, no data showing there was a problem being fixed at all. This was what we have come to find, something that had absolutely no quantitative counts, data analysis, ratios or comparisons. Anybody who follows City Council knows that this issue was brought about simply because of the fragility and the sensitive ego of one man.

Can I have the overhead, please? There's Barry and I'm sure we're gonna see that look again before this meeting is over. Barry then had what was the word Dan used? Mendacity to try to defend his bad decision by saying that moving public comment stopped people from cutting in line. I don't know how that can happen when non-agendized public comment when itself is agendized and it further showed the disrespect and insults for all of those good reasons that I mentioned that Councilwoman Whitehead mentioned of people coming to public comment. Just demeaning them and marginalizing them by saying they are cutting in line, that's quite a strange way of doing accounting. This was approximately 100 to 1 opposing this move and one in favor. The only person I saw in favor of this was Barry's campaign chair, Christian Serena who somehow as a wealth advisor who also knows numbers parroted Barry's ridiculous theory about cutting in line. Multiple citizens called this out and Barry acted like Christian was just a man on the street, not mentioning how he was his campaign chair. And people over and over complained about this and then reported that Barry blocked them over and over on social media, thus demonstrating how he would make it harder for residents to communicate with them.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you, Jason. Melissa and then lastly and but not least Joe Junker.

[Time: 01:31:16]

Melissa Kemp: Melissa Kemp, McCormick Ranch. Mayor and Councilmembers, thank you for letting me do this. That that goes the right way. I appreciate being able to speak, I appreciate that.

You have three choices as we heard and already put up on the screen. You can take no action. You can put this on a future agenda. Or you can send it back to staff for more research. I hope that you will decide to select the option of putting it on a future agenda and bring public comment back in front of public for discussion and for action to restore open public comment and increase it or expand it. I hope you do that.

I anticipate that it isn't just one man, one Council person who made this decision. It's four. And that bloc has stuck together fairly tightly on things. This is one where maybe some of you can give but it was the bloc who made this decision. It was four Councilmembers who vetted so violence and move your who voted to silence and move your voices back. If the bloc is going to hold it together, they will take the safe route and they will push it to staff. They will hope City Manager Canton or City Attorney Santaella take the heat and do the research, bury, it let it go away. That's a delay. If that's where you are going tonight. If you are going to punt towards send it to staff, then I will ask you to give them specific

instructions. Count it. You already got recommendations from staff before, it's best practice with no data and that's not acceptable date to make good, responsible decisions for 190,000 people. So how many residents speak regularly? How many used to speak? What is the trajectory? What is the trend? What was it before you made this change and after? What were the data points that caused you to think that this would expand access? How often did you actually have a problem? And give staff a date certain to return with the data to you so that this doesn't become oh, I don't know, magically after November of this year.

Public office is a public trust. When government asks us to trust its decisions, government has to show its work. Elections, they give you authority. They do not give you immunity from accountability between elections. If you believe these changes improved access, show us. Prove it. Get the data.

Otherwise, put this back on the agenda and let's have public discussion about open public comment that your public can actually participate in. Thank you so much for letting me be heard tonight. Thank you for getting it back in front of me. And I'm just gonna hope for I'm gonna hope for some officers who deserve my trust.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you, Melissa. Joe Junker.

[Time: 01:34:13]

Joe Junker: Thank you, Mayor. Thank you, again, everyone, and thank you for your service, really. I'm seriously, seriously thankful that you are here. May I use the Elmo for a sec? I got kind of some I'm gonna walk you through some oh, good! It's working! My name is Joe Junker, I'm a Scottsdale resident for a really long time. And I've been an issues advocate for over since 2014. I work with a couple of these gentlemen on boards and committees and other things like that.

But what I wanted to do is kind of walk into what is exacerbating part of our issue because the rules and processes have changed. Recent procedural changes now require majority to be able to keep things on an agenda as well as put them on an agenda. So, while majority rule is pretty normal for can you see that okay? It's pretty normal for actual decisions, it's kind of, it's kind of a press for putting it on being able to put the agenda out there. So, people are finding it hard to get their subjects on the agenda.

The structural changes is really straightforward and it's a controversial neighborhood issue might be blocked for for some reasons, you know, sometimes citizen petitions, for public votes are delayed, communities effectively silenced. So, we get frustrated and it turns into something that makes it more difficult for us. We should view and actually this is you will love oh, there it is. Councilwoman Whitehead commented on this before. But we should we should view these as a civic warning. It's the canary in the coal mine as we would say I've heard it before here today, but they are early warnings small and quiet, inexpensive to fix, actually. But you we've seen it the partnership has to be in place to where the citizens don't get frustrated or shut out.

As a matter of fact, and now I will get to press on my life. It was an unagendized public comment that

brought attention to the missing sidewalk on 68th Street, leading to the collaborative funding. It was an unagendized feedback that resulted in park etiquette. I remember a number of those. We have seen some of that, park etiquette at the dog park. It was an unagendized analytical oversight that work by my wife Yvonne that identified significant capital road construction funding conundrums and so proposals should remain permanently non-agendized has to be brought up, to be able to help us bring things to the Council and we we should truly value the feedback of this early warning asset. We have heard it before that we have engineers and specialists that are out there in Scottsdale that can bring value. I used up my three minutes. I went through every piece of paper I had. Thank you very much.

Mayor Borowsky: Thanks, Joe. Appreciate it. Briefly do you want to talk about item 32?

[Time: 01:38:15]

Councilwoman Whitehead: Before I do, I want to make a motion on item number 31, and I just want to say that here's our challenge. I mentioned in my remarks that sometimes the delivery isn't that good, and believe me, I didn't get insulted tonight. That was nice, but I often do. And we don't have we don't vote based on that. We have to vote on what is good for this community. So, some insults aside, I will ask my colleagues, we don't need research. This is a tradition in Scottsdale, and it works and there's nothing to research. So I move on item 31, if I can see, to direct the city manager to direct the city manager or responsible charter officer to agendize this item for a future meeting, just so this Council can discuss public comments towards the beginning of the meeting together in public and a timely fashion. We did it at 10:00 p.m. at night when the change was made. So, I hope you all will join me and at least put it on an agenda to discuss and then see what we can come up with.

Vice Mayor McAllen: I will second that motion and may I speak to my second, Mayor?

Mayor Borowsky: Of course. It's Vice Mayor McAllen. I think I called you Councilwoman McAllen.

[Time: 01:38:50]

Vice Mayor McAllen: That's okay. It's okay, Mayor. I just feel it's similar to what one of the citizens said tonight that our job is to listen first and foremost, to the citizens of the Scottsdale. And by allowing you to voice your concerns at the beginning of the meeting, builds that rapport, that trust. Solange said Councilwoman Whitehead, said something, and speaking at the beginning of the meeting and how exciting that was and is for our youth when they you know, the Mayor's Youth Council, they represented us at the state conference last week. It should be not just an impassioned time where you say your differences, but an exciting time, like Ms. Schwartz tonight who thanked us. Thank you for thanking us. That's so exciting!

But with my second, I just really wanted to say that I believe that we should move it back to where it rightfully belongs.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you. There's a motion and a second and I'm going to speak to this before I call

for a vote. I unequivocally support moving this back to the beginning of the meeting. Some of the comments we heard in support of moving it to the end are people have their set agenda time, and they show up here to do business before the city. What was omitted from that conversation is generally speaking those people are getting paid to be here. They have an allocated period of time where they do, they are trying to get something an initiative that that usually has a lot of money involved in it, and I think that's a little significantly different than the general public coming and dedicating there time to sitting here through a meeting. I applaud those of you that have sat through long meetings to get to your three minutes. I don't know how you do it. I mean, seriously, that's that's really that's passion. So, I will be supporting this. I didn't support the change in the first place, and before I turn it over for a vote, Councilwoman Dubauskas wants to speak.

[Time: 01:41:14]

Councilwoman Dubauskas: So, Councilwoman Littlefield and I had a conversation in December about the non-agendized public comment, and she and I made an offer to the public, and we offered to sit with the public for 4 to 6 on Mondays twice a month and we did so for six months. And there was not a single person who came who came who was only able to come between 5 and 6 p.m. We did have people come speak with us. They were people who, you know, were available at any time but because we were here and available, they came to talk with us. The city attorney, many times, was sitting here with us. And can verify.

We did this for a six-month period and there was a time when we were we had a conflict and invited Councilwoman Whitehead and McAllen to sit in for us and they declined. Our effort was to see what really is the issue here. This is an effort to reach out to residents. We made it available in this meeting. We put it out on our websites, the city clerk let folks know who called in, and for six months. And the issue didn't seem to be the issue that was presented as it is tonight. So, with that, what I will also note that tonight, we began non-agendized public comment at 6 p.m. So, what that says to me is that it is not always 10 p.m., that we are available, we are here. We want to listen. And this is something that that I think that has been discussed sufficiently.

I'm disappointed that Councilwoman Whitehead is taking the opportunity to try to take swipes at her Councilmembers rather than really focus on the residents. We did have someone come here tonight and say something really lovely during non-agendized public comment. You would think those things were at an end based on some of those comments tonight. People are coming to us and addressing that. With that I will make an alternate motion, number two to direct the city manager or the responsible charter officer to investigate the matter and prepare a written response for Council.

Councilwoman Littlefield: I second that.

Mayor Borowsky: Okay. Councilwoman Whitehead?

Councilwoman Dubauskas: She's blocked. Point of order. She can only speak one time on this topic.

Mayor Borowsky: You know, I forget what the rule is on that. Is that the rule? Is that the new rule?

Luis Santaella: Yeah, for Mayor and Council items. They can only speak once.

Mayor Borowsky: So, we just changed the rule on that and the speakers.

Luis Santaella: It was a few months ago. It's been a while.

Mayor Borowsky: Okay. So, a point of order has been called. I'm going to concede and agree with that. And so, with that, let's take a vote on the alternate motion. And I just I'm not I know I have spoke, but I'm going to vote no on this, because I well, you know what, I'm you know what, I'm going to vote no. I'm going to vote yes. I want to see what they're going to say. So that's good. We can see what happens if they agendize it. So please indicate your vote. Thank you.

MAYOR AND COUNCIL - ITEM 32

[Time: 01:44:55]

All right. Item 32, we understand what the issue is here, although, I I'm going to make the motion because we do need the city attorney to to issue an opinion about this, or a report. So, I would like to make a motion to direct the city attorney and the city manager or the city manager or collectively both, to investigate the matter and prepare a written response to the Council. Please.

Councilwoman Dubauskas: Second.

Councilwoman Whitehead: I will second that and then I would like to speak to it.

Mayor Borowsky: So, I think Councilwoman Dubauskas did second it and we're going to hear from the city attorney first.

Luis Santaella: Mayor, members of Council, preferably, since this involves elections if you could direct the city attorney and the city clerk to be the officers involved.

Mayor Borowsky: Absolutely. Thank you. I will amend that. Councilwoman Whitehead, you lost your place but go right ahead.

Councilwoman Whitehead: Oh, okay. Thank you. And I I guess I'm fine having it investigated by the two of you, but it is something it's always nice when there's something that really united our community. So, I do I would have preferred an agenda item, but I will support this, if that's an expedient way to move it forward.

Mayor Borowsky: So, going back to my motion, I'm certain if there's substantive issues we need to decide upon, you will put it on the agenda, so I'm not concerned about that. I think the opinion is

necessary first, though. Councilman Graham.

Councilman Graham: Actually, this is more of a question. What was the was the motion to do item or number two option two?

Mayor Borowsky: Yes.

Councilman Graham: Okay.

Mayor Borowsky: Councilwoman Dubauskas.

Councilwoman Dubauskas: Thank you, Madam Mayor. We did receive a memo on this from the city manager's office and from the chief of police who are responsible for sign enforcement last week. One of the a few of the things that were noted in there. There were about 2,600 signs that were removed during this period and there was a number that was attributed to an increased volume of candidates but also campaign and then signs in opposition. So just in general, we have there is an increase in signs this particular year related to the cycle because of campaigns and then because of opposition signs. So that is acknowledged by the city. I think some of this is in reaction to the fact that there sure were a lot of signs this cycle.

We do have an ordinance that was passed in December of 2011 and it specifically talks about what the state limits us to. So, we are limited in how we can reduce and create sign-free zones. We can have two zones and it can not exceed 3 square miles. So, we have established this, although it does not hurt whatsoever to take a look and see what else there is that we can do. The signs are a very difficult problem, and I think we're going to to see that. You know, there are several of Councilwoman Whitehead signs that are still up and out, and so even though as of August 5th her signs should have been down, it's hard to get every single one of them down. Council candidate Zubia has one that's in one of the sign-free zones right now.

[Time: 01:48:33]

Vice Mayor McAllen: This is electioneering, city attorney. This is electioneering.

Mayor Borowsky: That was a point of order.

Vice Mayor McAllen: Point of order, electioneering.

Councilwoman Dubauskas: This is a difficult problem.

Mayor Borowsky: Councilwoman Dubauskas , there's been a point of order. Can you let me address it, please?

Councilwoman Dubauskas: Oh, sure.

Mayor Borowsky: Can you state your point of order.

Vice Mayor McAllen: She specifically called out two people who are currently running for office. That electioneering.

Mayor Borowsky: So, one of which is running for office. If you could refrain from talking about a specific candidate actively that would be great.

[Time: 01:49:07]

Councilwoman Dubauskas: Sure. I'm done with that part. This is a difficult problem and hard for the people. You ask your sign guy to create a map but then a lot happens. And so, it's a difficult problem and so I would hope that you could maybe address some of that. I did talk with Chief LeDuc about this in advance and we talked a little bit about communication and maybe we could increase communication with candidates a little bit although our city clerk does spend quite a bit of time with his campaign manual communicating with candidates, unfortunately not all candidates are running in locally, they are county and statewide. I hope you two will consider those things when you bring that back to us. Thank you.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you, Vice Mayor McAllen.

Vice Mayor McAllen: Thank you, Mayor. Another point I, I think it is very important that the city attorney look at this because one of the things that many people may not be aware of, the statewide laws on signage supersede the city's ordinances. And beyond that, there is a Supreme Court ruling on signage that you cannot you can enforce where the signs are, and how they are placed and when they are placed but not the content of the sign. So that is why there's an extensive amount of signs that pop up during election time. You may notice that there's more you know, window washing signs or there's more signs for concrete companies or for whatever, because more signs pop up during this time, it just looks like a barrage of signs. That was a Supreme Court ruling that did happen in Gilbert.

So, it is super important that people know also that volunteers put up signs. An example, when I ran for office, my husband and I put up all of our signs. So, we knew specifically where our signs were. We didn't hire a company. We were holding ourselves accountable. And I think that might be similar to what Councilwoman Dubauskas is referring to, being responsible with your signs. We all agree that's important. But it is important for our city attorney to look at this, because what seems like a simple suggestion, there may be rules and laws that supersede what we can actually do. Thank you.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you. There is a motion and a second on the written report on item number 32, I believe that was. Please register your vote. Thank you. That concludes our business.

COUNCILMEMBER REPORTS

[Time: 01:52:17]

Turning it over to any Councilmembers that have anything they would like to report, this is the time now to do that. Councilwoman Whitehead.

Councilwoman Whitehead: Well, last week was lovely. We had first of all, it ended with the grand opening of our dog park and again former Councilmembers the people who make these things happen are often long gone when they do that happen. That was 2019 Bonds and then the League of Cities and Towns, three of us were there from this Council. And it was very inspiring. The message is basically, we have to work together. The quote that stuck with me is if you want to go fast, go alone; if you want to go far, go together. That was sort of the message of the conference and how to disagree better, get things done because lord knows we're in this together. And we need to see some of that here at this Council. I hope we'll see that moving forward. Tonight wasn't the direction I wanted to go but we every day is a new day. So great great week last week. Thank you.

Mayor Borowsky: Vice Mayor McAllen.

Vice Mayor McAllen: Thank you, Mayor. It was a great conference. I always thoroughly enjoy getting to meet representatives from throughout the state, congratulations to our parks department on the new dog park. But what I did want to talk about is an upcoming event. I thought it's a few weeks out but on 9/11, we will be doing the reading the names, a lot of the your local officials here, and city staff and some volunteers from the public will be reciting all the names of those who were victims during 9/11 out here in front of City Hall and we want to make sure that you get that on your calendar. 6:00 a.m. It's exactly it's New York time. It's when it occurred. So, it will be starting at 6:00 a.m. in front of City Hall. And it will be started by our fire chief.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you. Councilman Graham.

[Time: 01:54:21]

Councilman Graham: Mayor, thank you. We've been off for summer break. It's really good to be back and we just there's been so many announcements and so much progress going on in our city. Scottsdale Progress did an article on Thomas Road work that's underway. And it's been going on for years. We heard so much from people along Scottsdale Road and we, not only, are improvements underway but we are doing it partially with largely with a federal grant.

I want to remind my Council colleague Kwasman and Todd Bankofier, the kids can visit the Western Spirit Museum for free on September 5th and 6th. You probably knew that. You were probably just about ready to say that weren't you? It's good it's a good chance to if your kids haven't been to the museum, Western Spirit to take 'em.

And I also wanted to comment also comment on the dog park opening at Thompson Peak. That was that's going to be a wonderful asset for years to come. It's our third or fourth dog park at 3.5 acres. We had to relocate drainage and utilities and all that and it has spacious parking. It has a connection to the pedestrian.

It has a pedestrian bridge to the rest of the park and it was just my honor, Councilwoman Dubauskas was there, and Councilman Whitehead were there and it was a real honor to see a voter-approved project electric the 2019 Bonds from the 2019 Bonds come to life. So, thank you. Thank you, Mayor.

Mayor Borowsky: Thank you very much. That concludes our business for this evening. Thank you for being here. And we will see you tomorrow if you are so inclined. Thank you. Move to adjourn.

Councilwoman Whitehead: Second.

ADJOURNMENT

[Time: 01:56:19]